DUE DATE: MAR. 19, 2019

1. Recall that an ideal  $I \subseteq k[Z_0, \ldots, Z_n]$  is called a homogeneous ideal if, for every  $f \in I$ , when we write out f as a sum of homogeneous pieces,  $f = F_0 + F_1 + F_2 + \cdots + F_d$ , then each  $F_j$  is also in I.

In this problem we will show that an ideal I is homogeneous if and only if I can be generated by homogeneous polynomials, i.e., if and only if there are homogeneous polynomials  $G_1, \ldots, G_s \in k[Z_0, \ldots, Z_n]$  such that  $I = \langle G_1, \ldots, G_s \rangle$ .

- (a) Assume that I is a homogeneous ideal. Show that I may be generated by homogeneous polynomials. (Suggestion: since I is an ideal in  $k[Z_0, \ldots, Z_n]$  it may be generated by finitely many polynomials. Apply the 'homogeneous ideal' condition to these generators.)
- (b) Suppose that  $I = \langle G_1, \ldots, G_s \rangle$  with each  $G_i$  a homogeneous polynomial. Show that I is a homogeneous ideal. (Suggestion: Any  $f \in I$  can be written as  $f = h_1G_1 + \cdots + h_sG_s$  with the  $h_i$  polynomials in  $Z_0, \ldots, Z_n$ . Write each  $h_i$  as a sum of homogeneous pieces, expand the sum  $\sum h_iG_i$ , collect pieces of the same degree and compare with the homogeneous pieces of f.)
- (c) Is the ideal  $\langle X^3-5XZ^2+Y^2+XY,X^3-5XZ^2-Y^2-XY\rangle\subset \mathbb{Q}[X,Y,Z]$  homogeneous?
- 2. Recall that a subvariety  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$  is called a *cone* if whenever  $p \in Y$  then  $\lambda p \in Y$  for all  $\lambda \in k^*$ , where  $\lambda p$  means the point obtained by scaling all the coordinates of p by  $\lambda$ . In this problem we will show that Y is a cone if and only if  $J_Y$ , the ideal of Y, is a homogeneous ideal. For this question we assume that k is an infinite field.

First suppose that Y is a cone, let f be an element of  $J_Y$  and write f as a sum of homogeneous pieces,  $f = F_0 + F_1 + \cdots + F_d$ , with each  $F_j$  homogeneous of degree j. To show that  $J_Y$  is a homogeneous ideal, we need to show that each  $F_j \in J_Y$ .

- (a) Explain why it is sufficient to show that  $F_i(p) = 0$  for all  $p \in Y$ .
- (b) Fix  $p \in Y$ . By considering  $f(\lambda p)$ , explain why

$$0 = F_0(p) + \lambda F_1(p) + \lambda^2 F_2(p) + \dots + \lambda^d F(p)$$

for all  $\lambda \in k^*$ .

(c) Considering the expression in (b) as a polynomial in  $\lambda$ , explain why we must have  $F_j(p) = 0$  for each j, and hence (from the reductions above) that  $J_Y$  is a homogenous ideal.

- (d) Now prove the other direction: assume that  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n$  is a variety such that  $J_Y$  is a homogeneous ideal, and prove that Y is a cone. (The equivalence in question 1 may help.)
- 3. Suppose that  $U_0$ ,  $U_1$ , and  $U_2$  are the standard open subsets in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , and that we have varieties  $Y_0 \subset U_0$ ,  $Y_1 \subset U_1$ , and  $Y_2 \subset U_2$ , which agree on intersections. This means that  $Y_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} = Y_j|_{U_i \cap U_j}$  for any i, j. In this question we will prove that there is a homogeneous ideal I in k[X,Y,Z] so that if we set Y = V(I) then  $Y \cap U_i = Y_i$  for i = 0, 1, 2. I.e., we will show that if we define a subvariety of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  as something obtained by glueing together affine varieties on the pieces, then this agrees with our definition of subvariety as something obtained by homogeneous polynomials.

Since  $Y_0$ ,  $Y_1$ , and  $Y_2$  are each affine varieties (in  $U_0$ ,  $U_1$ , and  $U_2$  respectively) each of them are given by ideals in their respective polynomial rings. Let  $I_0$ ,  $I_1$ , and  $I_2$  be these ideals. Then let  $\widetilde{I}_0$ ,  $\widetilde{I}_1$ , and  $\widetilde{I}_2$  be the homogenization of these ideals; namely the ideal obtained by homogenizing the polynomials in  $I_0$ ,  $I_1$ , and  $I_2$  respectively. These ideals have the property that  $V(\widetilde{I}_j) \cap U_j = Y_j$  for each j. In other words, they each define projective varieties which restrict (separately) to the varieties we want on one of the open sets. However we do not know that  $V(\widetilde{I}_j) \cap U_i = Y_i$  when  $i \neq j$ , so these ideals by themselves do not solve the problem.

Recall that  $U_0 = \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \{X = 0\}$ ,  $U_1 = \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \{Y = 0\}$ , and  $U_2 = \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \{Z = 0\}$ . Let  $\widetilde{I}_0 X$  be the ideal obtained by multiplying all the elements of  $\widetilde{I}_0$  by X. If we look at  $V(\widetilde{I}_0 X)$ , this variety contains all the points of X = 0 (i.e., all the points off of  $U_0$ ), while we still have  $V(\widetilde{I}_0 X) \cap U_0 = Y_0$ . Similar statements hold for  $V(\widetilde{I}_1 Y)$  and  $V(\widetilde{I}_2 Z)$  (with similar definitions for  $\widetilde{I}_1 Y$  and  $\widetilde{I}_2 Z$ ).

Finally define  $I = \widetilde{I}_0 X + \widetilde{I}_1 Y + \widetilde{I}_2 Z$ , and set Y = V(I). By our relation between subvarieties and geometric operations, this means that

$$Y = V(I) = V(\widetilde{I}_0 X) \cap V(\widetilde{I}_1 Y) \cap V(\widetilde{I}_2 Z).$$

We now want to show that  $Y \cap U_i = Y_i$  for each i. By symmetry of the construction it is enough to do this for i = 0.

- (a) Show that  $Y \cap U_0 \subseteq Y_0$ .
- (b) Show that  $Y_0 \subseteq Y \cap U_0$ .

The proofs of both statements involve only elementary considerations about intersections, and inclusions, and the way that Y was defined. In particular, part (a) should be very straightforward. For part (b) you will need the condition that  $Y_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} = Y_j|_{U_i \cap U_j}$ .