University Survey of Student Assessment of Teaching
Course Evaluation Report

INSTRUCTOR: Arunabha Biswas
COURSE: MTHE 225
DEPARTMENT: MATH
SECTION: 001
TERM: 2016 Fall

Number of students in course or section: 178
Respondents for whom this is a required course: 52
Number of returned evaluations: 62
Percentage of enrolled students responding: 35%

LEGEND: Individual course results are reported thus:
Departmental results are represented thus: 
SA = Strongly Agree, A = Agree, N = Neutral, D = Disagree, SD = Strongly Disagree, NA = Not Applicable

NOTES: 1. Departmental means and percentages have been calculated as the average of the individual course means and percentages (rather than from the individual responses for the department which would result in large classes unduly influencing the results).
2. If the number of returns is less than 10, the responses are not aggregated into the departmental mean.
3. The histogram bar represents the percentage of students rating each item.
4. The numbers quoted are actual numbers of respondents.
5. For response rates of less than 65% the results may not be representative of student opinion and should be interpreted with caution.
6. The accuracy of the scanned data depends upon the legibility of the response marks. In some circumstances a small percentage of responses may be undetected by the scanner.

1. Overall, this is an excellent course.

Mean for this course: 4.5
Standard deviation: 0.67
Departmental mean: 3.8
Lowest mean for a course in this department: 2.8
Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Overall, this instructor is an effective teacher.

Mean for this course: 4.9
Standard deviation: 0.35
Departmental mean: 3.8
Lowest mean for a course in this department: 2.6
Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. I learned a great deal from this course.

Mean for this course: 4.7
Standard deviation: 0.49
Departmental mean: 3.8
Lowest mean for a course in this department: 2.3
Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of respondents</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. The instructor showed sensitivity to the needs and interests of students from diverse groups.

Mean for this course: 4.5
Standard deviation: 0.70
Departmental mean: 3.9
Lowest mean for a course in this department: 2.5
Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of respondents</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Grading was a fair assessment of my performance in this course.

Mean for this course: 4.5
Standard deviation: 0.69
Departmental mean: 3.8
Lowest mean for a course in this department: 2.2
Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of respondents</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. The workload in this course was reasonable and appropriate.

Mean for this course: 4.7
Standard deviation: 0.59
Departmental mean: 4.1
Lowest mean for a course in this department: 3.3
Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of respondents</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Arunabha Biswas
7. **My interest in the subject has been stimulated by this course.**

Mean for this course: 4.1  
Standard deviation: 0.94  
Departmental mean: 3.6  
Lowest mean for a course in this department: 2.5  
Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of respondents 83

8. **The instructor presented material clearly.**

Mean for this course: 4.8  
Standard deviation: 0.43  
Departmental mean: 3.7  
Lowest mean for a course in this department: 2.3  
Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of respondents 83

9. **The instructor was available for discussion outside class.**

Mean for this course: 4.5  
Standard deviation: 0.66  
Departmental mean: 4.1  
Lowest mean for a course in this department: 2.3  
Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of respondents 83

10. **The instructor in this course showed a genuine concern for students.**

Mean for this course: 4.6  
Standard deviation: 0.62  
Departmental mean: 4.0  
Lowest mean for a course in this department: 2.2  
Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of respondents 83
11. The course was well organized.

Mean for this course: 4.6
Standard deviation: 0.52
Departmental mean: 3.9
Lowest mean for a course in this department: 2.6
Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.7

Number of respondents  | SA | A | N | D | SD | NA
-------------------------------|----|---|---|---|----|---
37  | 19 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0
University Survey of Student Assessment of Teaching
Course Evaluation Report

INSTRUCTOR: Arunabha Biswas
DEPARTMENT: MATH

COURSE: MTHE 225
SECTION: 002
TERM: 2016 Fall

Number of students in course or section: 151
Number of returned evaluations: 102
Respondents for whom this is a required course: 77
Percentage of enrolled students responding: 68%

LEGEND: Individual course results are reported thus:
Departmental results are represented thus:
SA = Strongly Agree, A = Agree, N = Neutral, D = Disagree, SD = Strongly Disagree, NA = Not Applicable

NOTES:
1. Departmental means and percentages have been calculated as the average of the individual course means and percentages (rather than from the individual responses for the department which would result in large classes unduly influencing the results).
2. If the number of returns is less than 10, the responses are not aggregated into the departmental mean.
3. The histogram bar represents the percentage of students rating each item.
4. The numbers quoted are actual numbers of respondents.
5. For response rates of less than 65% the results may not be representative of student opinion and should be interpreted with caution.
6. The accuracy of the scanned data depends upon the legibility of the response marks. In some circumstances a small percentage of responses may be undetected by the scanner.

1. **Overall, this is an excellent course.**

   Mean for this course: 4.4
   Standard deviation: 0.64
   Departmental mean: 3.8
   Lowest mean for a course in this department: 2.8
   Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. **Overall, this instructor is an effective teacher.**

   Mean for this course: 4.7
   Standard deviation: 0.54
   Departmental mean: 3.8
   Lowest mean for a course in this department: 2.6
   Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>78</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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3. I learned a great deal from this course.

Mean for this course: 4.6
Standard deviation: 0.57
Departmental mean: 3.8
Lowest mean for a course in this department: 2.3
Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of respondents 62 34 4 0 0 0

4. The instructor showed sensitivity to the needs and interests of students from diverse groups.

Mean for this course: 4.4
Standard deviation: 0.67
Departmental mean: 3.9
Lowest mean for a course in this department: 2.5
Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of respondents 51 35 10 0 0 3

5. Grading was a fair assessment of my performance in this course.

Mean for this course: 4.5
Standard deviation: 0.77
Departmental mean: 3.8
Lowest mean for a course in this department: 2.2
Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of respondents 58 31 5 4 0 0

6. The workload in this course was reasonable and appropriate.

Mean for this course: 4.6
Standard deviation: 0.52
Departmental mean: 4.1
Lowest mean for a course in this department: 3.3
Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of respondents 64 34 2 0 0 0

Arunabha Biswas
7. My interest in the subject has been stimulated by this course.
   Mean for this course: 4.1
   Standard deviation: 0.95
   Departmental mean: 3.6
   Lowest mean for a course in this department: 2.5
   Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.6

   Number of respondents 40 35 20 3 2 0

8. The instructor presented material clearly.
   Mean for this course: 4.7
   Standard deviation: 0.65
   Departmental mean: 3.7
   Lowest mean for a course in this department: 2.3
   Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.8

   Number of respondents 72 23 4 0 1 0

9. The instructor was available for discussion outside class.
   Mean for this course: 4.4
   Standard deviation: 0.77
   Departmental mean: 4.1
   Lowest mean for a course in this department: 2.3
   Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.8

   Number of respondents 52 24 17 0 0 8

10. The instructor in this course showed a genuine concern for students.
    Mean for this course: 4.6
    Standard deviation: 0.64
    Departmental mean: 4.0
    Lowest mean for a course in this department: 2.2
    Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.8

    Number of respondents 64 29 8 0 0 0

Arunabha Biswas
11. The course was well organized.

Mean for this course: 4.7
Standard deviation: 0.51
Departmental mean: 3.9
Lowest mean for a course in this department: 2.6
Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of respondents</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
University Survey of Student Assessment of Teaching
Course Evaluation Report

INSTRUCTOR: ARUNABHA BISWAS
COURSE: MATH/MTHE 225 001-LEC
SECTION: 001
DEPARTMENT: MATH
TERM: 2016 Winter

Number of students in course or section: 83
Number of returned evaluations: 32
Respondents for whom this is a required course: 20
Percentage of enrolled students responding: 39%

LEGEND: Individual course results are reported thus: [ ]
Departmental results are represented thus: [-]
SA = Strongly Agree, A = Agree, N = Neutral, D = Disagree, SD = Strongly Disagree, NA = Not Applicable

NOTES: 1. Departmental means and percentages have been calculated as the average of the individual course means and percentages (rather than from the individual responses for the department which would result in large classes unduly influencing the results).
2. If the number of returns is less than 10, the responses are not aggregated into the departmental mean.
3. The histogram bar represents the percentage of students rating each item.
4. The numbers quoted are actual numbers of respondents.
5. For response rates of less than 65% the results may not be representative of student opinion and should be interpreted with caution.
6. The accuracy of the scanned data depends upon the legibility of the response marks. In some circumstances a small percentage of responses may be undetected by the scanner.

1. Overall, this is an excellent course.

Mean for this course: 4.5
Standard deviation: 0.66
Departmental mean: 4.0
Lowest mean for a course in this department: 3.1
Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Overall, this instructor is an effective teacher.

Mean for this course: 4.8
Standard deviation: 0.43
Departmental mean: 4.1
Lowest mean for a course in this department: 2.7
Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. I learned a great deal from this course.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean for this course: 4.6
Standard deviation: 0.60
Departmental mean: 4.0
Lowest mean for a course in this department: 3.3
Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.7

4. The instructor showed sensitivity to the needs and interests of students from diverse groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean for this course: 4.4
Standard deviation: 0.70
Departmental mean: 4.2
Lowest mean for a course in this department: 3.1
Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.8

5. Grading was a fair assessment of my performance in this course.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean for this course: 4.6
Standard deviation: 0.55
Departmental mean: 4.1
Lowest mean for a course in this department: 2.8
Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.8

6. The workload in this course was reasonable and appropriate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean for this course: 4.8
Standard deviation: 0.43
Departmental mean: 4.2
Lowest mean for a course in this department: 3.3
Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.9

Number of respondents
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7. My interest in the subject has been stimulated by this course.
Mean for this course: 4.2
Standard deviation: 1.03
Departmental mean: 3.7
Lowest mean for a course in this department: 2.6
Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.5
Number of respondents 17 6 6 3 0 0 0

8. The instructor presented material clearly.
Mean for this course: 4.7
Standard deviation: 0.54
Departmental mean: 4.0
Lowest mean for a course in this department: 2.6
Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.8
Number of respondents 22 9 1 0 0 0 0

9. The instructor was available for discussion outside class.
Mean for this course: 4.3
Standard deviation: 0.80
Departmental mean: 4.3
Lowest mean for a course in this department: 3.5
Highest mean for a course in this department: 5.0
Number of respondents 15 10 3 1 0 3

10. The instructor in this course showed a genuine concern for students.
Mean for this course: 4.4
Standard deviation: 0.70
Departmental mean: 4.3
Lowest mean for a course in this department: 3.1
Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.9
Number of respondents 16 12 4 0 0 0 0
11. The course was well organized.

Mean for this course: 4.7
Standard deviation: 0.59
Departmental mean: 4.2
Lowest mean for a course in this department: 3.3
Highest mean for a course in this department: 4.8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of respondents