## Exercises

3.1.7 Problem. Determine which of the following statements are true.

If a statement is false, then provide a counterexample.
i. The kernel of a linear map always contains the additive identity from is domain.
ii. The image of a linear map may be the empty set.
iii. The zero homomorphism is never injective.
$i v$. The zero homomorphism is surjective if and only if the target vector space is the zero space.
$v$. The identity map is always bijective.
3.1.8 Problem. The set of all traceless $(n \times n)$-matrices,

$$
\mathfrak{s l}(n, \mathbb{C}):=\left\{\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \mid \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A})=0\right\},
$$

is a linear subspace. Find a basis for $\mathfrak{s l}(n, \mathbb{C})$. What is the dimension of $\mathfrak{s l}(n, \mathbb{C})$ ?

### 3.2 Invertible Linear maps

How can a linear map have an inverse? We first record some properties for the composition of linear maps.
3.2.0 Remark. For any two linear maps $S: U \rightarrow V$ and $T: V \rightarrow W$, the product $T S: U \rightarrow W$ is the linear map defined, for all $u \in U$, by $(T S)[\boldsymbol{u}]=T[S[\boldsymbol{u}]])$. The product $T S$ is defined only when the target of $S$ lies in the source of $T$. One verifies that this binary operation has most of the properties expected of a product.

| (associativity) | $\left(T_{1} T_{2}\right) T_{3}=T_{1}\left(T_{2} T_{3}\right)$ | whenever the products are all defined. |
| ---: | :---: | :--- |
| (identity) | $T \mathrm{id}_{V}=T=\mathrm{id}_{W} T$ | when $T: V \rightarrow W$. |
| (linearity) | $T\left(c_{1} S_{1}+c_{2} S_{2}\right)=c_{1}\left(T S_{1}\right)+c_{2}\left(T S_{2}\right)$ | when $S_{1}, S_{2}: U \rightarrow V, T: V \rightarrow W$ and $c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{K}$. |
|  | $\left(c_{1} T_{1}+c_{2} T_{2}\right) S=c_{1}\left(T_{1} S\right)+c_{2}\left(T_{2} S\right)$ | when $S: U \rightarrow V, T_{1}, T_{2}: V \rightarrow W$, and $c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{K}$. |

The product to two linear maps is not typically commutative.
3.2.1 Problem. Let $D: \mathbb{K}[t] \rightarrow \mathbb{K}[t]$ denote differentiation and let
$M: \mathbb{K}[t] \rightarrow \mathbb{K}[t]$ denote multiplication by $t^{2}$. Show that $D M \neq M D$.
Solution. For all nonzero polynomials $f$ in $\mathbb{K}[t]$, it follows that $(M D)[f]=t^{2} f^{\prime}$ whereas $(D M)[f]=D\left[t^{2} f\right]=t^{2} f^{\prime}+2 t f \neq t^{2} f^{\prime}$.

The definition of an invertible linear map generalizes the definition of an invertible matrix.
3.2.2 Definition. A linear map $T: V \rightarrow W$ is invertible if there exists a linear map $S: W \rightarrow V$ such that $S T=\mathrm{id}_{V}$ and $T S=\mathrm{id}_{W}$. In this

The identity map $\mathrm{id}_{V}: V \rightarrow V$ is the map whose output is equal to its input. case, the map $S$ is an inverse of $T$.
3.2.3 Problem. Let $V:=\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ be the $\mathbb{R}$-vector space of real-valued functions on the real line. Fix $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and consider the two linear maps $T, S: V \rightarrow V$ defined by $T[f(x)]=f(x+a)$ and $S[f(x)]=f(x-a)$ respectively. Show that $S$ is an inverse of $T$.

Solution. Since $(S T)[f(x)]=S[f(x+a)]=f((x+a)-a)=f(x)$ and $(T S)[f(x)]=T[f(x-a)]=f((x-a)+a)=f(x)$, we see that $S T=\mathrm{id}_{V}=T S$ and these translations maps are mutual inverses.
3.2.4 Proposition (Uniqueness of the inverse). For any invertible linear map $T: V \rightarrow W$, the inverse map is unique and denoted by $T^{-1}: W \rightarrow V$.

Proof. Suppose that the linear maps $S_{1}: W \rightarrow V$ and $S_{2}: W \rightarrow V$ are both inverses of the linear map $T: V \rightarrow W$. It follows that

$$
S_{1}=S_{1} \mathrm{id}_{W}=S_{1}\left(T S_{2}\right)=\left(S_{1} T\right) S_{2}=\mathrm{id}_{V} S_{2}=S_{2}
$$

3.2.5 Proposition (Characterization of invertibility). A linear map is invertible if and only if it is bijective.

Proof. Consider a linear map $T: V \rightarrow W$.
$\Rightarrow$ : Suppose that $T$ is invertible. For any two vectors $v$ and $v^{\prime}$ in $V$ satisfying $T[\boldsymbol{v}]=T\left[\boldsymbol{v}^{\prime}\right]$, we have $\boldsymbol{v}=T^{-1}[T[\boldsymbol{v}]]=T^{-1}\left[T\left[\boldsymbol{v}^{\prime}\right]\right]=\boldsymbol{v}^{\prime}$, so the map $T$ is injective. For any vector $w$ in $W$, we also have $\boldsymbol{w}=T\left[T^{-1}[\boldsymbol{w}]\right]$, so the map $T$ is surjective.
$\Leftarrow$ : Suppose that $T$ is bijective. The surjectivity and injectivity of $T$ imply that, for each vector $w$ in $W$, there exists a unique vector $S[\boldsymbol{w}]$ in $V$ such that $T[S[\boldsymbol{w}]]=\boldsymbol{w}$. In other words, there exists a unique set map $S: W \rightarrow V$ for which $T S=\mathrm{id}_{W}$. For any vector $v$ in $V$, it follows that

$$
T[(S T)[\boldsymbol{v}]]=T[S[T[\boldsymbol{v}]]]=(T S)[T[\boldsymbol{v}]]=\operatorname{id}_{W}[T[\boldsymbol{v}]]=T[\boldsymbol{v}]
$$

Since the map $T$ is injective, we deduce that $(S T)[v]=v$ for all $v$ in $V$ and $S T=\mathrm{id}_{V}$. It remains to show that $S$ is linear. For all vectors $\boldsymbol{w}$ and $\boldsymbol{w}^{\prime}$ in $W$ and all scalars $b$ and $c$ in $\mathbb{K}$, the linearity of the map $T$ gives

$$
T\left[b(S[\boldsymbol{w}])+c\left(S\left[\boldsymbol{w}^{\prime}\right]\right)\right]=b(T[S[\boldsymbol{w}]])+c\left(T\left[S\left[\boldsymbol{w}^{\prime}\right]\right]\right)=b \boldsymbol{w}+c \boldsymbol{w}^{\prime}
$$

Hence, $b(S[\boldsymbol{w}])+c\left(S\left[\boldsymbol{w}^{\prime}\right]\right)$ is the unique vector in $V$ that the map $T$ sends to $b w+c w^{\prime}$. Therefore, the definition of the map $S$ implies that $S\left[b \boldsymbol{w}+c \boldsymbol{w}^{\prime}\right]=b(S[\boldsymbol{w}])+c\left(S\left[\boldsymbol{w}^{\prime}\right]\right)$.
3.2.6 Definition. Two $\mathbb{K}$-vector spaces $V$ and $W$ are isomorphic, denoted $V \cong W$, if there is an invertible linear map from $V$ to $W$.
3.2.7 Theorem. Let $V$ and $W$ be finite-dimensional $\mathbb{K}$-vector spaces. We have $\operatorname{dim}(V)=\operatorname{dim}(W)$ if and only if $V$ is isomorphic to $W$.

The operators $T$ and $S$ translate the graph of a function horizontally by $a$ in opposite directions.

Since $T^{-1} T=\mathrm{id}_{V}$ and $T T^{-1}=\mathrm{id}_{W}$, the uniqueness of the inverse implies that $\left(T^{-1}\right)^{-1}=T$.

The inverse of a linear map is automatically a linear map.

One may regard an invertible linear map as a relabeling/renaming of the elements in a vector space. Thus, two isomorphic vectors spaces have the same properties (from the perspective of linear algebra).

Proof.
$\Rightarrow:$ Set $n:=\operatorname{dim}(V)=\operatorname{dim}(W)$. Let $\boldsymbol{v}_{1}, \boldsymbol{v}_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{v}_{n}$ and $\boldsymbol{w}_{1}, \boldsymbol{w}_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{w}_{n}$ be bases for $V$ and $W$ respectively. A linear map is determined by its values on a basis [3.0.7], so consider $T: V \rightarrow W$ defined, for all $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$, by $T\left[\boldsymbol{v}_{j}\right]=\boldsymbol{w}_{j}$. For any vector $\boldsymbol{w}$ in $W$, there exists scalars $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ in $\mathbb{K}$ such that $\boldsymbol{w}=a_{1} \boldsymbol{w}_{1}+a_{2} \boldsymbol{w}_{2}+\cdots+a_{n} \boldsymbol{w}_{n}$, because the vectors $w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n}$ span $W$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
T\left[a_{1} \boldsymbol{v}_{1}+a_{2} \boldsymbol{v}_{2}+\cdots+a_{n} \boldsymbol{v}_{n}\right] & =a_{1} T\left[\boldsymbol{v}_{1}\right]+a_{2} T\left[\boldsymbol{v}_{2}\right]+\cdots+a_{n} T\left[\boldsymbol{v}_{n}\right] \\
& =a_{1} \boldsymbol{w}_{1}+a_{2} \boldsymbol{w}_{2}+\cdots+a_{n} \boldsymbol{w}_{n}=\boldsymbol{w}
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows that the linear map $T$ is surjective. Similarly, for any vector $v$ in $\operatorname{Ker}(T)$, there exists scalars $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n}$ in $\mathbb{K}$ such that $\boldsymbol{v}=b_{1} \boldsymbol{v}_{1}+b_{2} \boldsymbol{v}_{2}+\cdots+b_{n} \boldsymbol{v}_{n}$ because the vectors $\boldsymbol{v}_{1}, \boldsymbol{v}_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{v}_{n}$ span $V$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{0}=T[\boldsymbol{v}] & =T\left[b_{1} \boldsymbol{v}_{1}+b_{2} \boldsymbol{v}_{2}+\cdots+b_{n} \boldsymbol{v}_{n}\right] \\
& =b_{1} T\left[\boldsymbol{v}_{1}\right]+b_{2} \boldsymbol{T}\left[\boldsymbol{v}_{2}\right]+\cdots+b_{n} T\left[\boldsymbol{v}_{n}\right] \\
& =b_{1} \boldsymbol{w}_{1}+b_{2} \boldsymbol{w}_{2}+\cdots+b_{n} \boldsymbol{w}_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the vectors $w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n}$ are linearly independent, we deduce that $b_{1}=b_{2}=\cdots=b_{n}=0, \boldsymbol{v}=\mathbf{0}$, and $\operatorname{Ker}(T)=\{\mathbf{0}\}$. The linear characterization of injectivity [3.1.4] implies that the map $T$ is injective and the characterization of invertibility [3.2.5] establishes that $T$ is invertible. It follows that $V \cong W$.
$\Leftarrow$ : Suppose that there is an invertible linear map $T: V \rightarrow W$. The characterization of invertibility [3.2.5] implies that $T$ is bijective and the characterizations of injectivity and surjectivity [3.1.4] imply that $\operatorname{Ker}(T)=\{0\}$ and $\operatorname{Im}(T)=W$. Thus, the dimension formula [3.1.4] gives
$\operatorname{dim}(V)=\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Ker}(T))+\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Im}(T))=0+\operatorname{dim}(W)=\operatorname{dim}(W)$.
3.2.8 Remark. Theorem3.2.7 establishes that, for any finite-dimensional $\mathbb{K}$-vector space $V$ where $n:=\operatorname{dim} V$, we have $V \cong \mathbb{K}^{n}$.

## Exercises

3.2.9 Problem. Determine which of the following statements are true.

If a statement is false, then provide a counterexample.
$i$. The product of nonzero linear transformations is never zero.
ii. The product of two linear transformations is never commutative.
iii. Consider any two linear transformations $S$ and $T$, If we have $S T=I$, then we must have $T S=I$.
iv. The $\mathbb{K}$-vector spaces $\mathbb{K}[t]_{\leqslant n}$ and $\mathbb{K}^{n+1}$ are isomorphic.
3.2.10 Problem. Fix a nonnegative integer $n$. Show that a polynomial $f$ in $\mathbb{R}[t]_{\leqslant n}$ is uniquely determined by the vector $\left[\begin{array}{llll}x_{0} & x_{1} & \cdots & x_{n}\end{array}\right]^{\top}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ where $x_{k}:=\int_{0}^{1} t^{k} f(t) d t$.

### 3.3 Invertible Operators

## Are invertible maps from a Vector space to itself special?

Some of the deepest and most important parts of linear algebra deal with linear maps from a vector space to itself.
3.3.0 Definition. A linear map from a vector space to itself is called a linear operator or endomorphism.
3.3.1 Remark. For any $\mathbb{K}$-vector space $V$, the simplest linear operators are the identity map $\mathrm{id}_{V}: V \rightarrow V$ is a linear operator and its scalar multiples. For any scalar $c \in \mathbb{K}$, the linear map $c \operatorname{id}_{V}: V \rightarrow V$ is defined by $c \operatorname{id}_{V}[v]:=c v$ for all vectors $v$ in $V$.
3.3.2 Problem. Let $V$ be a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{K}$-vector space. Prove that the linear map $T: V \rightarrow V$ is a scalar multiple of the identity map if and only if, for any linear map $S: V \rightarrow V$, we have $S T=T S$.

## Solution.

$\Rightarrow$ : Suppose that we have $T=c \mathrm{id}_{V}$ for some scalar $c$ in $\mathbb{K}$. It follows that $S T=S\left(c \mathrm{id}_{V}\right)=c\left(S \mathrm{id}_{V}\right)=c S=\left(c \mathrm{id}_{V}\right) S=T S$.
$\Leftarrow$ : Suppose that the map $T: V \rightarrow V$ commutes with every linear operator on the $\mathbb{K}$-vector space $V$. Choose a basis $\boldsymbol{v}_{1}, \boldsymbol{v}_{2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{v}_{n}$ for the finite-dimensional vector space $V$. For all $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, the image $T\left[v_{k}\right]$ is a unique linear combination of the basis vectors. Hence, there exists unique scalars $a_{1, k}, a_{2, k}, \ldots, a_{n, k}$ in $\mathbb{K}$ such that $T\left[v_{k}\right]=a_{1, k} \boldsymbol{v}_{1}+a_{2, k} \boldsymbol{v}_{2}+\cdots+a_{n, k} \boldsymbol{v}_{n}$.

A linear map is determined by its values on a basis [3.0.7]. For all $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$, consider the linear map $P_{j}: V \rightarrow V$ defined by $P_{j}\left[\boldsymbol{v}_{j}\right]=\boldsymbol{v}_{j}$ and $P_{j}\left[\boldsymbol{v}_{k}\right]=\mathbf{0}$ if $k \neq j$. When $k \neq j$, we obtain

$$
\mathbf{0}=T[\mathbf{0}]=\left(T P_{j}\right)\left[\boldsymbol{v}_{k}\right]=\left(P_{j} T\right)\left[\boldsymbol{v}_{k}\right]=P_{j}\left[a_{1, k} \boldsymbol{v}_{1}+a_{2, k} \boldsymbol{v}_{2}+\cdots+a_{n, k} \boldsymbol{v}_{n}\right]=a_{j, k} \boldsymbol{v}_{j},
$$

so $a_{j, k}=0$ and $T\left[\boldsymbol{v}_{k}\right]=a_{k, k} \boldsymbol{v}_{k}$.
Next, consider the linear map $S: V \rightarrow V$ defined, for all $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n-1$, by $S\left[\boldsymbol{v}_{k}\right]=\boldsymbol{v}_{k+1}$ and $S\left[\boldsymbol{v}_{n}\right]=\boldsymbol{v}_{1}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{k+1, k+1} \boldsymbol{v}_{k+1}=T\left[\boldsymbol{v}_{k+1}\right]=(T S)\left[\boldsymbol{v}_{k}\right] & =(S T)\left[\boldsymbol{v}_{k}\right]=S\left[a_{k, k} \boldsymbol{v}_{k}\right]=a_{k, k} \boldsymbol{v}_{k+1} \\
\Rightarrow \quad\left(a_{k+1, k+1}-a_{k, k}\right) \boldsymbol{v}_{k+1} & =\mathbf{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

so we deduce that $c:=a_{1,1}=a_{2,2}=\cdots=a_{n, n}$. We conclude that $T\left[\boldsymbol{v}_{k}\right]=c \boldsymbol{v}_{k}$. for all $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, proving that $T=c \operatorname{id}_{V}$.
3.3.3 Problem. Demonstrate that the linear operator on $\mathbb{K}[t]$ defined via multiplication by $t^{2}$ is injective, but is not surjective.

Solution. Let $M: \mathbb{K}[t] \rightarrow \mathbb{K}[t]$ be the map defined, for any polynomial $f$ in $\mathbb{K}[t]$, by $M[f]:=t^{2} f$. The equation $0=M[f]=t^{2} f$ implies that $f=0$. Since $\operatorname{Ker}(M)=\{0\}$, the characterization of injectivity [3.1.4]
shows that $M$ is injective. Since every nonzero polynomial in the image of $M$ must have degree at least 2, the map $M$ is not surjective: neither 1 nor $t$ belong to $\operatorname{Im}(M)$.
3.3.4 Problem. The backward shift operator $B: \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is defined by $B\left[\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right)\right]:=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots\right)$. Show that $B$ is surjective, but is not injective.

Solution. Since $\operatorname{Ker}(B)=\left\{\left(a_{0}, 0,0, \ldots\right) \in \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}} \mid a_{0} \in \mathbb{K}\right\} \neq\{0\}$, the map $B$ is not injective. For any sequence $\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right)$ in $\mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}}$, we have $B\left[\left(0, a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots\right)\right]=\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right)$, so the map $B$ is surjective.

In view of the last two problems, the next theorem is remarkable.
3.3.5 Theorem (Characterization of invertible operators). Let $V$ be a finite-dimensional vector space. For any linear map $T: V \rightarrow V$, the following are equivalent.
a. The linear map $T$ is invertible.
b. The linear map $T$ is injective.
c. The linear map $T$ is surjective.

Proof.
$a \Rightarrow b$ : The characterization of invertibility [3.2.5] shows that every invertible linear map is bijective and, in particular, injective.
$b \Rightarrow c$ : Since $T$ is injective, the characterization of injectivity [3.1.4]
implies that $\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Ker}(T))=0$. Hence, the dimension formula [3.1.6]
gives $\operatorname{dim}(V)=\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Ker}(T))+\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Im}(T))=\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Im}(T))$. As
$\operatorname{Im}(T) \subseteq V$, we see that $V=\operatorname{Im}(T)$ and $T$ is surjective.
$c \Rightarrow a$ : The surjectivity of $T$ means $\operatorname{Im}(T)=V$. Hence, the dimension
formula [3.1.6] implies that $\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Ker}(T))=0$ and the linear char-
acterization of injectivity [3.1.4] implies that the map $T$ is injective.
Thus, the characterization of invertibility [3.2.5] demonstrates that the linear map $T$ is invertible.
3.3.6 Problem. Let $f$ be a polynomial in $\mathbb{R}[t]$. Establish that there exists a polynomial $g$ in $\mathbb{R}[t]$ such that $\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}\left((t+1)^{2} g\right)=f$.

Solution. Let $n$ denote the degree of the polynomial $f$. Consider the map $T: \mathbb{R}[t]_{\leqslant n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[t]_{\leqslant n}$ defined, for all polynomials $g$ in $\mathbb{R}[t]_{\leqslant n}$, by $T[g]:=\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}\left((t+1)^{2} g\right)$. Since multiplying by a nonzero polynomial by $(t+1)^{2}$ increases the degree by 2 and differentiating twice decreases the degree by 2 , we see that $T$ is a linear operator on $\mathbb{R}[t]_{\leqslant n}$.

Every polynomial whose second derivative equals 0 has the form $a_{0}+a_{1} t$ for some $a_{0}, a_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$. We deduce that $\operatorname{Ker}(T)=\{0\}$ and the characterization of injectivity [3.1.4] implies that $T$ is injective. Hence, the characterization of invertible operators [3.3.5] shows that $T$ is surjective. Therefore, there exists $g$ in $\mathbb{R}[T]_{\leqslant n}$ such that $T[g]=f$.

## Exercises

3.3.7 Problem. Determine which of the following statements are true.

If a statement is false, then provide a counterexample.
$i$. The zero homomorphism is always a linear operator.
ii. The identity map is always a linear operator.
iii. Consider any two linear operators $S$ and $T$ on a finite-dimensional vector space. If we have $S T=I$, then we must have $T S=I$.
3.3.8 Problem. Let $V$ be a finite-dimensional vector space. Consider two linear operators $S$ and $T$ on $V$.
$i$. Show that the product $S T$ is invertible if and only if both $S$ and $T$ are invertible.
ii. Prove that $S T=I$ if and only if $T S=I$.
iii. Give an example illustrating that both (a) and (b) are false over an infinite-dimensional vector space.

