#### Exercises

**8.1.6 Problem.** Determine which of the following statements are true. If a statement is false, then provide a counterexample.

- *i.* Any projection onto a linear subspace in an inner product space minimizes norms.
- *ii.* When the vector v is a solution to the linear equation T[x] = b, the orthogonal projection of T[v] onto the image of T equals b.
- *iii.* Taylor polynomials always provide the best approximation to a function.
- *iv.* The defining basis for the space of trigonometric polynomials is an orthonormal basis.

**8.1.7 Problem.** Fix a nonnegative integer *n* and consider the *n*-element set  $\mathcal{X} := \{\frac{2\pi\ell}{n} \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0 \le \ell \le n-1\}$ . Let  $V := \mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{X}}$  the complex inner product space, consisting of all functions from the finite set  $\mathcal{X}$  of real numbers to  $\mathbb{C}$  with the inner product

$$\langle f,g \rangle := \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f(x) \overline{g(x)} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} f\left(\frac{2\pi\ell}{n}\right) \overline{g\left(\frac{2\pi\ell}{n}\right)}$$

- *i*. For all integers *j* satisfying  $0 \le j \le n 1$ , demonstrate that the functions  $w_j(x) := \exp(-jx i)$  are pairwise orthogonal and compute  $||w_j(x)||$ .
- *ii.* For all integers *k* satisfying  $0 \le k \le n 1$ , consider the function

$$h_k(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = \frac{2\pi k}{n} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \neq \frac{2\pi k}{n}. \end{cases}$$

Which function in the linear subspace

$$W := \operatorname{Span}(w_0(x), w_1(x), \dots, w_{n-1}(x)) \subset V$$

best approximates the function  $h_k(x)$ ?

*iii.* For all integers *k* satisfying  $0 \le k \le n - 1$ , calculate the norm of the different between  $h_k(x)$  and its best approximate.

### 8.2 Least-Squares

How DO WE FIND THE BEST APPROXIMATE SOLUTION? Auspiciously, the optimal approximate solutions to an inconsistent linear system are the solutions to an auxiliary consistent linear system.

**8.2.0 Proposition.** Let **A** be a complex  $(m \times n)$ -matrix and let **b** be a vector in  $\mathbb{C}^m$ . The set of least-squares approximations to  $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  coincides with the nonempty set of solutions to the **normal equations**  $\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{b}$ .

*Proof.* Let *P* be the orthogonal projection onto the column space  $Im(\mathbf{A})$  of the matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ . Since orthogonal projections minimize

norms [8.1.0] and the vector  $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}$  lies in  $\text{Im}(\mathbf{A}) = \text{Im}(P)$ , we have  $\|\boldsymbol{b} - P[\boldsymbol{b}]\| \leq \|\boldsymbol{b} - \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{x}\|$  with equality if and only if  $\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{x} = P[\boldsymbol{b}]$ . Hence, a vector x in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is a least-squares approximation of a solution to the linear system A x = b if and only if the vector x is a solution to Ax = P[b]. To show that the set of least-squares approximations to Ax = b coincides with the set of solutions to the normal equations, we prove containment in both directions.

- $\subseteq$ : Suppose that the vector x in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  satisfies  $\mathbf{A}x = P[\mathbf{b}]$ . Since  $P^2 = P$ , we see that  $\boldsymbol{b} - P[\boldsymbol{b}]$  lies in Ker(*P*). The orthogonality [8.0.3] of the projection *P* implies that  $\langle \boldsymbol{b} - P[\boldsymbol{b}], \boldsymbol{w} \rangle = \boldsymbol{w}^{\star}(\boldsymbol{b} - \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{x}) = 0$  for all  $\boldsymbol{w}$ in Im(**A**). For all  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , the *k*-th column vector  $a_k$  in the matrix A satisfies  $a_k^{\star}(b - Ax) = 0$ , which means that the vector x in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ satisfies  $\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{b}$ .
- $\supseteq$ : Suppose that the vector *x* in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  satisfies  $\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A} x = \mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{b}$ . Since  $\mathbf{A}^{\star}(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}$ , we see that  $\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$  is orthogonal to the columns of **A** and the vector  $\boldsymbol{b} - \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{x}$  lies in the kernel Ker(*P*). As the vector  $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}$  lies in Im(*P*) and  $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} + (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x})$ , the properties of projections [8.0.2] establish that  $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = P[\mathbf{b}]$ .

**8.2.1 Problem.** Find a least-square approximation to A x = b where

$$\mathbf{A} \coloneqq \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathbf{b} \coloneqq \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 11 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Solution. Since

$$\mathbf{A}^{\star} \mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 17 & 1 \\ 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{A}^{\star} \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 11 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 19 \\ 11 \end{bmatrix},$$
  
the normal equation  $\mathbf{A}^{\star} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}^{\star} \mathbf{b}$  becomes  $\begin{bmatrix} 17 & 1 \\ 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 19 \\ 11 \end{bmatrix},$  so  
 $\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{84} \begin{bmatrix} 5 & -1 \\ -1 & 17 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 19 \\ 11 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{84} \begin{bmatrix} 84 \\ 168 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}.$ 

**8.2.2 Problem.** Find a least-square approximation to  $\mathbf{A} x = \mathbf{b}$  where

$$\mathbf{A} := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{b} := \begin{bmatrix} -3 & -1 & 0 & 2 & 5 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}}.$$

-

Solution. Since

$$\mathbf{A}^{\star} \mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{A}^{\star} \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ -4 \\ 2 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix},$$

-

the augmented matrix for  $\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{b}$  is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 6 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & -4 \\ 2 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r_1 \mapsto 0.5r_1} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -2 \\ - & & & \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r_1 \mapsto r_4} \xrightarrow{r_1 \mapsto r_4} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r_2 \mapsto r_2 - r_1} \xrightarrow{r_3 \mapsto r_3 - r_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -5 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & -2 & -7 \end{bmatrix} \\ \xrightarrow{r_4 \mapsto r_4 - r_2} \xrightarrow{r_4 \mapsto r_4 - r_2} \xrightarrow{r_4 \mapsto r_4 - r_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -5 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r_4 \mapsto r_4 - r_3} \xrightarrow{r_4 \mapsto r_4 - r_3} \xrightarrow{r_4 \mapsto r_4 - r_3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -5 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} .$$

The general solution is  $x = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -5 & -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}} + \operatorname{Span}(\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}})$ .  $\Box$ 

The approach also yields the matrix associated to the orthogonal projection onto any linear subspace relative to the standard basis.

**8.2.3 Lemma.** For any complex  $(m \times n)$ -matrix **B** with linearly independent columns, the product **B**<sup>\*</sup> **B** is an invertible  $(n \times n)$ -matrix.

*Proof.* For any vector v in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  satisfying  $\mathbf{B}^* \mathbf{B} v = \mathbf{0}$ , we have

$$\| {f B} \, v \|^2 = ({f B} \, v)^{\star} ({f B} \, v) = v^{\star} \, {f B}^{\star} \, {f B} \, v = v^{\star} \, {f 0} = {f 0}$$
 ,

so the properties of norms [7.1.1] establish that  $\mathbf{B} v = \mathbf{0}$ . Since the columns of the matrix  $\mathbf{B}$  are linearly independent, we deduce that  $v = \mathbf{0}$ . The characterizations of invertible matrices establish that the product  $\mathbf{B}^* \mathbf{B}$  is invertible.

**8.2.4 Proposition.** Fix positive integers *m* and *n*. Let **B** be a complex  $(m \times n)$ -matrix whose columns form a basis for a linear subspace *W* in  $\mathbb{C}^m$  and let  $P: \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{C}^m$  be the orthogonal projection onto *W*. For the standard basis  $\mathcal{E} := (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m)$  of  $\mathbb{C}^m$ , we have  $(P)_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{E}} = \mathbf{B} (\mathbf{B}^* \mathbf{B})^{-1} \mathbf{B}^*$ .

*Proof.* Consider a vector v in  $\mathbb{C}^m$  and set w := P[v]. Since  $P^2 = P$ , it follows that the vector v - w lies in Ker(P). The orthogonality [8.0.3] of the projection P implies that  $\mathbf{B}^*(v - w) = \mathbf{0}$ . Since the columns of matrix  $\mathbf{B}$  span the linear subspace W, there exists a vector x in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $w = \mathbf{B}x$ , so we have  $\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{B}^*(v - w) = \mathbf{B}^*v - \mathbf{B}^*\mathbf{B}x$  and  $\mathbf{B}^*\mathbf{B}x = \mathbf{B}^*v$ . The invertibility of the matrix  $\mathbf{B}^*\mathbf{B}$  establishes that  $x = (\mathbf{B}^*\mathbf{B})^{-1}\mathbf{B}^*v$  and  $P[v] = w = \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{B}^*\mathbf{B})^{-1}\mathbf{B}^*v$ . Thus, for all  $1 \leq k \leq m$ , the k-th column of the matrix  $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{B}^*\mathbf{B})^{-1}\mathbf{B}^*$  equals  $P[e_k]$ . We conclude that  $(P)_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{E}} = \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{B}^*\mathbf{B})^{-1}\mathbf{B}^*$ .

### Exercises

**8.2.5 Problem.** Determine which of the following statements are true. If a statement is false, then provide a counterexample.

- *i.* Every linear system has a unique approximate solution.
- ii. The normal equations always have a solution.

- *iii.* If the columns of the coefficient matrix are linearly independent, then the associated linear system always has a unique approximate solution.
- *iv.* Given any complex matrix **B**, the associated matrix **B**\* **B** is always invertible.

**8.2.6 Problem.** The population of Canada, as determined by the Canadian census, was as follows:

year	1996	2001	2006	2011	2016
population (in millions)	28.8	30.0	31.6	33.5	35.2

Let *t* denote the time measured in years from 1996.

- *i*. Suppose that population of Canada (measure in millions) is modeled by the linear function  $p_{\ell}(t) = a + b t$ . Find the least-squares estimates for the parameters *a* and *b*.
- *ii.* Suppose that the population of Canada (measure in millions) is modeled by the exponential function  $p_e(t) = c e^{\lambda t}$ . Linearize the model and use the least-squares method to estimate the parameters *c* and  $\lambda$ .

8.2.7 Problem. Consider

$$\mathbf{A} := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 3 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Show that a least-squares solution to Ax = b is not unique and solve the normal equations to find all of the least-squares solutions.

Copyright © 2022, Gregory G. Smith Last updated: 2022–03–17

# 9 Geometry of Operators

The compatibility between a linear map and the inner products on its source and target has wonderful consequences.

## 9.0 Adjoint Maps

How DO INNER PRODUCTS GIVE RISE TO NEW MAPS? Each linear map between finite-dimensional inner product spaces comes with a companion. Before describing this partner, we use inner products to recognize equality of vectors and introduce a special class of maps.

**9.0.0 Lemma** (Equality test). Let V be an inner product space. For any two vectors u and w in V, we have u = w if and only if  $\langle v, u \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$  for all vectors v in V.

*Proof.* The conjugate-linearity [7.0.9] of inner products shows that  $\langle v, u \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$  if and only if  $0 = \langle v, u \rangle - \langle v, w \rangle = \langle v, u - w \rangle$ .

- ⇒: Suppose that u = w. The properties [7.0.9] of inner products show that  $\langle v, u w \rangle = \langle v, 0 \rangle = 0$ .
- *⇐*: Suppose that, for all vectors *v* in *V*, we have  $\langle v, u \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ . Setting v := u - w, we obtain  $0 = \langle v, u - w \rangle = \langle u - w, u - w \rangle$  and the positivity [7.0.0] of inner products implies that u - w = 0. □

**9.0.1 Definition.** A *linear functional* is a linear map from a  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space to its underlying field  $\mathbb{K}$  of scalars.

When a vector space is equipped with an inner product, linear functionals are easily characterized.

**9.0.2 Proposition** (Representation of linear functionals). Let *V* be a finite-dimensional inner product space over the field  $\mathbb{K}$  of scalars. For any linear functional  $\varphi: V \to \mathbb{K}$ , there exists a unique vector v in *V* such that  $\varphi[w] = \langle w, v \rangle$  for all vectors w in *V*.

*Proof.* Set  $n := \dim V$ . As n is a nonnegative integer, we may choose an orthonormal basis  $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n$  for V; see [7.2.2]. For any vector w in V, orthonormal coordinates [7.1.7] on V, the linearity of  $\varphi$ , and the conjugate-linearity [7.0.9] of the inner product give

$$\varphi[w] = \varphi[\langle w, u_1 \rangle u_1 + \langle w, u_2 \rangle u_2 + \dots + \langle w, u_n \rangle u_n] = \langle w, u_1 \rangle \varphi[u_1] + \langle w, u_2 \rangle \varphi[u_2] + \dots + \langle w, u_n \rangle \varphi[u_n] = \langle w, \overline{\varphi[u_1]} u_1 + \overline{\varphi[u_2]} u_2 + \dots + \overline{\varphi[u_n]} u_n \rangle .$$

By setting  $v := \overline{\varphi[u_1]} u_1 + \overline{\varphi[u_2]} u_2 + \cdots + \overline{\varphi[u_n]} u_n$ , we see that there exists a vector v in V such that  $\varphi[w] = \langle w, v \rangle$  for all vectors w in V.

Suppose that there exists vectors v and  $\tilde{v}$  in V such that, for all vectors w in V, we have  $\langle w, v \rangle = \langle w, \tilde{v} \rangle$ . The equality test proves that  $v = \tilde{v}$ . Thus, there is a unique vector v in V such that  $\varphi[w] = \langle w, v \rangle$  for all vectors w in V.

**9.0.3 Definition.** Let *V* and *W* be finite-dimensional inner product spaces and let  $T: V \to W$  be a linear map. Conceptually, the *adjoint map*  $T^*: W \to V$  is determined, for all vectors v in *V* and all vectors w in *W*, by the equation  $\langle T[v], w \rangle_W = \langle v, T^*[w] \rangle_V$ . More pedantically, each vector w in *W* yields a linear functional  $\varphi_w: V \to \mathbb{K}$  defined, for all vectors u in *V*, by  $\varphi_w[u] := \langle T[u], w \rangle_W$ . The representation of linear functionals implies that there is a unique vector v in *V* such that  $\varphi_w[u] = \langle u, v \rangle_V$  for all vectors u in *V*. The map  $T^*: W \to V$  is defined by  $T^*[w] = v$ .

Although their definition is somewhat opaque, the properties of adjoint maps are straightforward and instinctual.

**9.0.4 Proposition** (Properties of adjoints). *Let U, V, and W be three finite-dimensional inner product spaces over the same field of scalars. The adjoint operation has the following properties:* 

(linearity) For any linear map  $T: V \to W$ , the adjoint map  $T^*: W \to V$  is linear.

(conjuguate-linearity) For any linear maps  $S, T: V \to W$  and any scalars b, c, we have  $(bS + cT)^* = \overline{b}S^* + \overline{c}T^*$ .

(involution) For any linear map  $T: V \to W$ , we have  $(T^*)^* = T$ .

(identity) We have  $id_W^* = id_W$ .

(multiplicativity) For any linear maps  $S: U \to V$  and  $T: V \to W$ , we have  $(TS)^* = S^*T^*$ .

*Proof.* Throughout the proof, let v be a vector in V, let w and x be vectors in W, and let b and c be scalars.

(linearity) The definition of the adjoint map and the conjugate-

linearity [7.0.9] of the inner product give

$$\langle \boldsymbol{v}, T^{\star}[b\,\boldsymbol{w} + c\,\boldsymbol{x}] \rangle_{V} = \langle T[\boldsymbol{v}], b\,\boldsymbol{w} + c\,\boldsymbol{x} \rangle_{W} = \overline{b} \langle T[\boldsymbol{v}], \boldsymbol{w} \rangle_{W} + \overline{c} \langle T[\boldsymbol{v}], \boldsymbol{x} \rangle_{W} = \overline{b} \langle \boldsymbol{v}, T^{\star}[\boldsymbol{w}] \rangle_{V} + \overline{c} \langle \boldsymbol{v}, T^{\star}[\boldsymbol{x}] \rangle_{V} = \langle \boldsymbol{v}, b\,T^{\star}[\boldsymbol{w}] + c\,T^{\star}[\boldsymbol{x}] \rangle_{V} .$$

The equality test implies that  $T^*[b w + c x] = b T^*[w] + c T^*[x]$ , so the adjoint map  $T^*: W \to V$  is linear.

(conjugate-linearity) The definition of the adjoint map, the pointwise

operations [1.1.0] on linear maps, linearity [7.0.0] of inner products,

and conjugate-linearity [7.0.9] of inner products give

$$\langle \boldsymbol{v}, (b\,S+c\,T)^{\star}[\boldsymbol{w}] \rangle_{V} = \langle (b\,S+c\,T)[\boldsymbol{v}], \boldsymbol{w} \rangle_{W} = \langle b\,S[\boldsymbol{v}] + c\,T[\boldsymbol{v}], \boldsymbol{w} \rangle_{W} \\ = b\,\langle S[\boldsymbol{v}], \boldsymbol{w} \rangle_{W} + c\,\langle T[\boldsymbol{v}], \boldsymbol{w} \rangle_{W} = b\,\langle \boldsymbol{v}, S^{\star}[\boldsymbol{w}] \rangle_{V} + c\,\langle \boldsymbol{v}, T^{\star}[\boldsymbol{w}] \rangle_{V} = \langle \boldsymbol{v}, \overline{b}\,S^{\star}[\boldsymbol{w}] + \overline{c}\,T^{\star}[\boldsymbol{w}] \rangle_{V}$$

The equality test implies that  $(b S + c T)^*[w] = \overline{b} S^*[w] + \overline{c} T^*[w]$ , so we have  $(b S + c T)^* = \overline{b} S^* + \overline{c} T^*$ .

(involution) The definition of the adjoint map and the conjugate-

symmetry [7.0.0] of the inner product give

$$\langle T[\boldsymbol{v}], \boldsymbol{w} \rangle_{W} = \langle \boldsymbol{v}, T^{\star}[\boldsymbol{w}] \rangle_{V} = \overline{\langle T^{\star}[\boldsymbol{w}], \boldsymbol{v} \rangle_{V}} = \overline{\langle \boldsymbol{w}, (T^{\star})^{\star}[\boldsymbol{v}] \rangle_{W}} = \langle (T^{\star})^{\star}[\boldsymbol{v}], \boldsymbol{w} \rangle_{W}$$

The equality test yields  $T[v] = (T^*)^*[v]$ , so  $T = (T^*)^*$ . *(identity)* The definition of the adjoint map gives

$$\langle \boldsymbol{w}, \mathrm{id}_W^\star[\boldsymbol{x}] 
angle_W = \langle \mathrm{id}_W[\boldsymbol{w}], \boldsymbol{x} 
angle_W = \langle \boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{x} 
angle_W = \langle \boldsymbol{w}, \mathrm{id}_W[\boldsymbol{x}] 
angle_W$$

The equality test yields  $id_W^*[x] = id_W[x]$ , so  $id_W^* = id_W$ . (*multiplicativity*) For any vector u in U, the definition of the adjoint

map gives

$$\langle \boldsymbol{u}, (TS)^{\star}[\boldsymbol{w}] \rangle_{\boldsymbol{U}} = \langle (TS)[\boldsymbol{u}], \boldsymbol{w} \rangle_{\boldsymbol{W}} = \langle T[S[\boldsymbol{u}]], \boldsymbol{w} \rangle_{\boldsymbol{W}} = \langle S[\boldsymbol{u}], T^{\star}[\boldsymbol{w}] \rangle_{\boldsymbol{V}} = \langle \boldsymbol{u}, S^{\star}[T^{\star}[\boldsymbol{w}]] \rangle_{\boldsymbol{U}} = \langle \boldsymbol{u}, (S^{\star}T^{\star})[\boldsymbol{w}] \rangle_{\boldsymbol{U}}.$$

Since the equality test implies that  $(TS)^*[w] = (S^*T^*)[w]$ , we conclude that  $(TS)^* = S^*T^*$ .

The next problem shows that our notation for the adjoint map is consistent with our notation for the conjugate-transpose [7.0.2].

**9.0.5 Problem.** Let  $T: V \to V$  be a linear map on a finite-dimensional inner product space *V*. For any orthonormal basis  $\mathcal{U} := (u_1, u_2, ..., u_n)$  of *V*, the matrix of  $T^*$  relative to  $\mathcal{U}$  is the conjugate-transpose of the matrix of *T* relative to  $\mathcal{U}$ , or equivalently  $(T^*)_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathcal{U}} = ((T)_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathcal{U}})^*$ .

*Proof.* For all  $1 \le k \le n$ , the orthonormal coordinates [7.1.7] relative to  $\mathcal{U}$  give  $T[u_k] = \langle T[u_k], u_1 \rangle u_1 + \langle T[u_k], u_2 \rangle u_2 + \cdots + \langle T[u_k], u_n \rangle u_n$ , so the (j, k)-entry in the matrix  $(T)_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathcal{U}}$  is  $\langle T[u_k], u_j \rangle$ . In the same way, the properties of inner products [7.0.9] and the definition of the adjoint map [9.0.3] also give

$$T^{\star}[\boldsymbol{u}_{k}] = \langle T^{\star}[\boldsymbol{u}_{k}], \boldsymbol{u}_{1} \rangle \boldsymbol{u}_{1} + \langle T^{\star}[\boldsymbol{u}_{k}], \boldsymbol{u}_{2} \rangle \boldsymbol{u}_{2} + \dots + \langle T^{\star}[\boldsymbol{u}_{k}], \boldsymbol{u}_{n} \rangle \boldsymbol{u}_{n}$$
  
$$= \overline{\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{1}, T^{\star}[\boldsymbol{u}_{k}] \rangle} \boldsymbol{u}_{1} + \overline{\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{2}, T^{\star}[\boldsymbol{u}_{k}] \rangle} \boldsymbol{u}_{2} + \dots + \overline{\langle \boldsymbol{u}_{n}, T^{\star}[\boldsymbol{u}_{k}] \rangle} \boldsymbol{u}_{n}$$
  
$$= \overline{\langle T[\boldsymbol{u}_{1}], \boldsymbol{u}_{k} \rangle} \boldsymbol{u}_{1} + \overline{\langle T[\boldsymbol{u}_{2}], \boldsymbol{u}_{k} \rangle} \boldsymbol{u}_{2} + \dots + \overline{\langle T[\boldsymbol{u}_{n}], \boldsymbol{u}_{k} \rangle} \boldsymbol{u}_{n},$$

so the (j,k)-entry in the matrix  $(T^*)^{\mathfrak{U}}_{\mathfrak{U}}$  is  $\overline{\langle T[u_j], u_k \rangle}$ . Comparing entries, we conclude that  $(T^*)^{\mathfrak{U}}_{\mathfrak{U}} = ((T)^{\mathfrak{U}}_{\mathfrak{U}})^*$ .

### Exercises

**9.0.6 Problem.** Determine which of the following statements are true. If a statement is false, then provide a counterexample.

- *i.* On a finite-dimensional vector space, there is a bijection between linear functionals and vectors.
- *ii.* Every linear operator is equal to its adjoint.
- iii. An orthogonal projection is equal to its adjoint.

**9.0.7 Problem.** Let  $u_1, u_2, ..., u_n$  be an orthonormal basis for an inner product space *V*. For all  $1 \le j \le n$ , consider the linear functional  $\psi_j: V \to \mathbb{K}$  defined by  $\psi_j[u_k] = \delta_{j,k}$  for all  $1 \le k \le n$ . Show that the list  $(\psi_1, \psi_2, ..., \psi_n)$  forms a basis for  $V^* := \text{Hom}(V, \mathbb{K})$ .

**9.0.8 Problem.** Let *V* denote the real vector space of continuous realvalued functions on the interval [a, b] with  $\langle f, g \rangle := \int_a^b f(s) g(s) \, ds$ . Fix a continuous function  $K : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ . Consider the linear operator  $J : V \to V$  defined by  $(J[f])(x) := \int_a^b K(s, x) f(s) \, ds$ . Show that the adjoint  $J^*$  exists.

**9.0.9 Problem.** Let *V* be a finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space, let  $V^* := \text{Hom}(V, \mathbb{K})$  be its dual space, and let  $V^{**} := \text{Hom}(V^*, \mathbb{K})$  be its double dual space.

- *i*. For any vector v in V, the map  $\hat{v} \colon V^* \to \mathbb{K}$  is defined, for all linear functionals  $\varphi$  in  $V^*$ , by  $\hat{v}[\varphi] = \varphi(v)$ . Prove that  $\hat{v}$  is a linear functional on  $V^*$ .
- *ii.* Consider the map  $\Psi: V \to V^{\star\star}$  defined by  $\Psi[v] = \hat{v}$ . Prove that  $\Psi$  is a linear map and an isomorphism.

### 9.1 Isometries

WHICH LINEAR MAPS PERSERVE NORMS? We analyze the distancepreserving linear maps between inner product spaces.

**9.1.0 Definition.** Let *V* and *W* be inner product spaces over the same field of scalars. A linear map  $S: V \to W$  is an *isometry* if  $||S[v]||_W = ||v||_V$  for all vectors v in *V*.

**9.1.1 Lemma.** Every isometry is injective. Moreover, an linear operator on a finite-dimensional vector space that is an isometry is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* Let  $S: V \to W$  be an isometry. For any vector v in Ker(v), we have  $0 = \|\mathbf{0}\|_W = \|S[v]\|_W = \|v\|_V$  which implies that v = 0. Since Ker $(S) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ , the injectivity criterion [3.1.4] shows that S is injective. The first claim together with the characterization of invertible operators [3.3.5] proves the second claim.

**9.1.2 Lemma.** A linear map  $S: V \to W$  between complex inner product spaces is an isometry if and only if  $\langle S[v_1], S[v_2] \rangle_W = \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle_V$  for all vectors  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  in V.

### Proof.

⇒: Suppose that *S* is an isometry. For all vectors  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  in *V*, the polar identity [7.0.11], the linearity of *S*, and the definition of isometry give

$$\begin{split} \langle S[\boldsymbol{v}_1], S[\boldsymbol{v}_2] \rangle &= \frac{1}{4} \left( \|S[\boldsymbol{v}_1] + S[\boldsymbol{v}_2]\|^2 - \|S[\boldsymbol{v}_1] - S[\boldsymbol{v}_2]\|^2 + i \, \|S[\boldsymbol{v}_1] + i \, S[\boldsymbol{v}_2]\|^2 - i \, \|S[\boldsymbol{v}_1] - i \, S[\boldsymbol{v}_2]\|^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left( \|S[\boldsymbol{v}_1 + \boldsymbol{v}_2]\|^2 - \|S[\boldsymbol{v}_1 - \boldsymbol{v}_2]\|^2 + i \, \|S[\boldsymbol{v}_1 + i \, \boldsymbol{v}_2]\|^2 - i \, \|S[\boldsymbol{v}_1 - i \, \boldsymbol{v}_2]\|^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left( \|\boldsymbol{v}_1 + \boldsymbol{v}_1\|^2 - \|\boldsymbol{v}_1 - \boldsymbol{v}_2\|^2 + i \, \|\boldsymbol{v}_1 + i \, \boldsymbol{v}_2\|^2 - i \, \|\boldsymbol{v}_1 - i \, \boldsymbol{v}_2\|^2 \right) = \langle \boldsymbol{v}_1, \boldsymbol{v}_2 \rangle \;. \end{split}$$

Coming from ancient Greek, the word "isometry" means equality of measure.

 $\Leftarrow: \text{ Suppose that } \langle S[v_1], S[v_2] \rangle_W = \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle_V \text{ for all vectors } v_1 \text{ and } v_2 \text{ in } V. \text{ It follows that, for any vector } v \text{ in } V, \text{ we have}$ 

$$\|S[v]\|_W^2 = \langle S[v], S[v] 
angle_W = \langle v, v 
angle_V = \|v\|_V^2 \; .$$

Since the norm of a vector is a nonnegative real number, taking square-roots gives  $||S[v]||_W = ||v||_V$ .

Surjective isometries have several more equivalent descriptions.

**9.1.3 Theorem** (Characterizations of surjective isometries). *Let V* and *W* be inner product spaces over the same field  $\mathbb{K}$  of scalars. For any linear map  $S: V \to W$ , the following are equivalent:

- a. The linear map S is a surjective isometry.
- b. The linear map S is surjective and  $S^* S = id_V$ .
- c. The linear map S is invertible and  $S^{-1} = S^*$ .
- d. The linear map  $S^*$  is surjective and  $S S^* = id_W$ .
- e. The linear map  $S^*$  is a surjective isometry.
- f. The vectors  $u_1, u_2, ..., u_n$  form an orthonormal basis of V if and only if the vectors  $S[u_1], S[u_2], ..., S[u_n]$  form an orthonormal basis of W.

#### Proof.

 $a \Rightarrow b$ : For all vectors  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  in *V*, Lemma 9.1.2, the conjugatesymmetry [7.0.0] of inner products, and the definition [9.0.3] of the adjoint map give

$$\langle \boldsymbol{v}_1, \boldsymbol{v}_2 \rangle_V = \langle S[\boldsymbol{v}_1], S[\boldsymbol{v}_2] \rangle_W = \overline{\langle S[\boldsymbol{v}_2], S[\boldsymbol{v}_1] \rangle_W} = \overline{\langle \boldsymbol{v}_2, (S^* S)[\boldsymbol{v}_1] \rangle_V} = \langle (S^* S)[\boldsymbol{v}_1], \boldsymbol{v}_2 \rangle_V ,$$

so we have  $\langle (S^* S - id_V)[v_1], v_2 \rangle = 0$ . The equality test [9.0.0] proves that  $(S^* S - id_V)[v_1] = 0$ , so  $S^* S = id_V$ .

- $b \Rightarrow c$ : For any vector v in Ker(S), we have Ker(S) = {**0**} because  $v = (S^*S)[v] = S^*[S[v]] = S^*[$ **0**] =**0**. Hence, the injectivity criterion [3.1.4] shows that the linear map S is injective. Since S is bijective, the characterization of invertibility [3.2.5] establishes that the linear map S is invertible and the uniqueness of inverses [3.2.4] demonstrates that  $S^* = S^{-1}$ .
- $c \Rightarrow d$ : By definition [3.2.2], a linear operator is invertible if there exists  $S^{-1}: V \to V$  such that  $SS^{-1} = id_W$  and  $S^{-1}S = id_V$ . By hypothesis, we also have  $S^{-1} = S^*$ , so we infer that  $SS^* = id_W$ .
- $d \Rightarrow e$ : For all vectors  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  in W, the definition of the adjoint map [9.0.3] gives

 $\langle S^{\star}[\boldsymbol{w}_1], S^{\star}[\boldsymbol{w}_2] \rangle_V = \langle \boldsymbol{w}_1, (S S^{\star})[\boldsymbol{w}_2] \rangle_W = \langle \boldsymbol{w}_1, \boldsymbol{w}_2 \rangle_W.$ 

Hence, Lemma 9.1.2 establishes that  $S^*$  is an isometry.

 $e \Rightarrow d \Rightarrow c \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow a$ : By replacing *S* with *S*<sup>\*</sup> and using the involutive property [9.0.4] of adjoint maps, the first four steps in the prove establishes these implications.

- $a \Rightarrow f$ : For all indices  $1 \le j \le k \le n$ , Lemma 9.1.2 demonstrates that  $\langle u_j, u_k \rangle = \langle S[u_j], S[u_k] \rangle$ . Hence, the vectors  $u_1, u_2, ..., u_n$  are orthonormal if and only if the vectors  $S[u_1], S[u_2], ..., S[u_n]$  are orthonormal. Since *S* is invertible, the vectors  $u_1, u_2, ..., u_n$  are a basis of *V* if and only if the vectors  $S[u_1], S[u_2], ..., S[u_n]$  are a basis of *W*.
- $f \Rightarrow a$ : Fix an orthonormal basis  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$  for the inner product space *V*. For any vector *v* in *V*, the orthonormal coordinates [7.1.7], linearity of *S*, and the Parseval identity [7.1.5] imply that

$$||S[v]||^{2} = ||S[\langle v, u_{1} \rangle u_{1} + \langle v, u_{2} \rangle u_{2} + \dots + \langle v, u_{n} \rangle u_{n}]||^{2}$$
  
$$= ||\langle v, u_{1} \rangle S[u_{1}] + \langle v, u_{2} \rangle S[u_{2}] + \dots + \langle v, u_{n} \rangle S[u_{n}]||^{2}$$
  
$$= |\langle v, u_{1} \rangle|^{2} + |\langle v, u_{2} \rangle|^{2} + \dots + |\langle v, u_{n} \rangle|^{2}$$
  
$$= ||\langle v, u_{1} \rangle u_{1} + \langle v, u_{2} \rangle u_{2} + \dots + \langle v, u_{n} \rangle u_{n}||^{2} = ||v||^{2}.$$

The nonnegativity of inner products [7.0.0] establishes that, by taking the square root, we obtain ||S[v]|| = ||v||.

**9.1.4 Remark.** An isometry on a complex inner product space is often called a *unitary* operator, and an isometry on a real inner product space is often called an *orthogonal* operator. Similarly, a complex square matrix **Q** is *unitary* if  $\mathbf{Q}^* = \mathbf{Q}^{-1}$  and a real square matrix **Q** is *orthogonal* if  $\mathbf{Q}^{\mathsf{T}} = \mathbf{Q}^{-1}$ .

**9.1.5 Proposition** (Orthonormal triangularization). For any linear map  $T: V \rightarrow V$  on a finite-dimensional complex inner product space V, there exists an ordered orthonormal basis for V such that the matrix of T relative to this basis is upper triangular.

*Proof.* Set  $n := \dim V$ . By the triangularization theorem [5.2.1], there exists an ordered basis  $\mathcal{B} := (b_1, b_2, ..., b_n)$  of the C-vector space V such that the matrix of T relative to  $\mathcal{B}$  is upper-triangular. The orthonormalization algorithm [7.2.0] applied to the basis  $\mathcal{B}$  returns an orthonormal basis  $\mathcal{U} := (u_1, u_2, ..., u_n)$  such that, for all  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , we have  $\text{Span}(u_1, u_2, ..., u_k) = \text{Span}(b_1, b_2, ..., b_k)$ . It follows from the characterization of triangular operators [5.2.0] that, for all  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , we have  $T[b_k] \in \text{Span}(u_1, u_2, ..., u_k)$ . Since  $u_k \in \text{Span}(b_1, b_2, ..., b_k)$ , there exists scalars  $c_1, c_2, ..., c_k \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $u_k = c_1 b_1 + c_2 b_2 + \cdots + c_k b_k$ . We deduce that

$$T[\boldsymbol{u}_k] = T[c_1 \, \boldsymbol{b}_1 + c_2 \, \boldsymbol{b}_2 + \dots + c_k \, \boldsymbol{b}_k]$$
  
=  $c_1 T[\boldsymbol{b}_1] + c_2 T[\boldsymbol{b}_2] + \dots + c_k T[\boldsymbol{b}_k] \in \text{Span}(\boldsymbol{u}_1, \boldsymbol{u}_2, \dots, \boldsymbol{u}_k)$ 

so the characterization of triangular operators demonstrates that the matrix  $(T)_{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathcal{U}}$  of *T* relative to  $\mathcal{U}$  is upper-triangular.