

These questions will use the “Riemann-Hurwitz” formula, which will be proved in class on Thursday, March 28th. As well, in question 3 you will complete the proof of the ‘global’ picture of a map between Riemann surfaces, the statement of which will also appear in Thursday’s class.

1. Here is an extremely simple example of a map between Riemann surfaces (aka “algebraic curves”). Fix an integer  $n \geq 1$  and define a map  $\varphi: \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  by the formula  $[X: Y] \rightarrow [X^n: Y^n]$ .

- (a) Check that  $\varphi$  is well-defined, that is (1)  $\varphi$  doesn’t depend on the choice of representative we use for  $[X: Y]$ , and (2) no point of  $\mathbb{P}^1$  is sent to  $[0: 0]$  by these instructions.

In order to see that this is a map of Riemann surfaces, let us look in coordinate charts.

- (b) Check that  $\varphi^{-1}(U_0) = U_0$  and that  $\varphi^{-1}(U_1) = U_1$ , i.e, that  $\varphi$  maps the standard coordinate charts to the standard coordinate charts.
- (c) In each of  $U_0$  and  $U_1$  write out (in the coordinates of each chart) what  $\varphi$  is doing. Is  $\varphi$  an algebraic map?
- (d) Find all the ramification points of  $\varphi$  and their ramification degrees.

2. Use the Riemann-Hurwitz formula to find the genus of  $X$ , the genus of  $Y$ , or the number of ramification points, as required.

- (a)  $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  is a degree 3 cover, with two ramification points, both with ramification index  $k_p = 3$ . Find the genus of  $X$ .
- (b)  $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  is a degree 3 cover, with three ramification points, all with ramification index  $k_p = 3$ . Find the genus of  $X$ .
- (c)  $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$  is a map of degree  $d$ ,  $X$  has genus 1, and there are no ramification points. Find the genus of  $Y$ .
- (d)  $X$  is of genus  $g$ ,  $Y$  is of genus 1, the map  $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$  is of degree  $d$ , and all ramification points  $p$  in  $X$  are of index 2. Find the number of ramification points (the answer turns out, in this case, not to depend on the degree  $d$ ).

Can you think of a map  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  satisfying the description in part (a)?

3. In this question we will complete the proof of the theorem describing the “global” picture of a non-constant map  $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$  between Riemann surfaces. The key missing step of the theorem was this : to show that there exists a positive integer  $d$ , such that for any  $q \in Y$ ,  $\sum_{p \in \varphi^{-1}(q)} k_p = d$ . Here the sum is over all  $p$  such that  $\varphi(p) = q$ , and  $k_p$  denotes the ramification index of  $\varphi$  at  $p$ .

To reduce notation somewhat, let us define the function  $D: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  by  $D(q) = \sum_{p \in \varphi^{-1}(q)} k_p$ . The goal of this problem is then to show that  $D$  is a constant function.

LEMMA : For each  $q \in Y$  there is a small neighbourhood (= open set around)  $V$  of  $q$  such that  $D$  is constant on  $V$ .

First let us see how to prove the result using the lemma.

(a) Use the lemma to show that for each  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  the set

$$D^{-1}(d) = \left\{ q \in Y \mid D(q) = d \right\}$$

is open.

(b) Use (a) to show that for each  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  the set  $D^{-1}(d)$  is closed. (SUGGESTION: this is the same as showing that the complement is open.)

(c) Use (a)+(b) to show that for each  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $D^{-1}(d)$  is either  $Y$  or the empty set.

(d) Conclude that there is a unique  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $D^{-1}(d) = Y$ , i.e., conclude that  $D$  is constant on  $Y$ .

We now work on proving the lemma.

Fix  $q \in Y$ , and suppose that  $\varphi^{-1}(q) = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r\}$ . From our local picture we know that there is an open set  $V$  around  $q$ , and open sets  $U_1, \dots, U_r$  around  $p_1, \dots, p_r$  such that  $\varphi(U_i) \subset V$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , and that on each  $U_i$  the map  $\varphi$  looks like  $z_i \mapsto z_i^{k_{p_i}}$ , where  $z_i$  is a local coordinate on  $U_i$ , and  $k_{p_i}$  the ramification index at  $p_i$ .

Given these  $U_i$  and  $V$ , for  $q \in V$  let us split our function  $D$  into the sum of two functions. For  $q' \in V$ , by definition  $D(q')$  is the sum over  $p' \in \varphi^{-1}(q')$  of the ramification indices  $k_{p'}$ . We will split the sum into pieces according to whether  $p'$  is in  $U_1 \cup U_2 \cup \dots \cup U_r$  or outside it. Set  $U = U_1 \cup U_2 \cup \dots \cup U_r$  and define :

$$D_U(q') = \sum_{p' \in \varphi^{-1}(q') \cap U} k_{p'} \quad \text{and} \quad D_U^c(q') = \sum_{p' \in \varphi^{-1}(q'), p' \notin U} k_{p'},$$

so that  $D(q') = D_U(q') + D_U^c(q')$ . (The “c” is for ”complement.”)

Set  $d = D(q) = k_{p_1} + k_{p_2} + \dots + k_{p_r}$ .

(e) Show that for  $q'$  sufficiently close to  $q$ ,  $D_U(q') = d$ .

CLAIM: For  $q'$  sufficiently close to  $q$ , all points of  $\varphi^{-1}(q')$  are in  $U$ . (This then shows that for those points  $D_U^c(q') = 0$ , and hence using  $D = D_U + D_U^c$  and (e) that  $D(q') = d$  for all points  $q'$  sufficiently close to  $q$ , thus proving the lemma.)

The negation of this claim is that there is a sequence of points  $q'_1, q'_2, \dots$ , converging to  $q$ , and for each  $q'_i$  a point  $p'_i \in \varphi^{-1}(q'_i)$  which is outside of  $U$ . Since  $X$  is compact, such a sequence would have a limit point  $\bar{p} \in X$ .

(f) Explain why we would have  $\varphi(\bar{p}) = q$ .

(g) Explain why this means that  $\bar{p} \in \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r\}$ .

(h) Explain why this means that some  $p'_i$  (in fact, infinitely many  $p'_i$ ) would have to be in  $U$ .

(i) Explain why this is a contradiction, thus establishing the claim, the lemma, and finally the theorem from class.