

1. For each of the following quartic polynomials  $f$  compute  $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$ , where  $L$  is the splitting field of  $f$ . The resultant cubic  $p(t)$  and discriminant  $\Delta(f)$  for each  $f$  are included in the table. All of the polynomials are irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , a fact you may assume without having to prove.

	$f(x)$	$p(t)$	$\Delta(f)$
(a)	$x^4 - 2x^3 + 2x^2 + 2$	$t^3 - 2t^2 - 8t + 8$	3136
(b)	$x^4 + x^3 + 2x^2 + 2x + 1$	$t^3 - 2t^2 - 2t + 3$	117
(c)	$x^4 + 2x^3 + 2x^2 - 2x + 1$	$t^3 - 2t^2 - 8t$	2304
(d)	$x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1$	$t^3 - t^2 - 3t + 2$	125
(e)	$x^4 + 2x^3 + x^2 - 3x + 1$	$t^3 - t^2 - 10t - 9$	257

**Solution.** The algorithm for determining the Galois group of an irreducible quartic  $f(x) = x^4 + bx^3 + cx^2 + dx + e \in K[x]$  is explained in the chart below.

$p(t)$	$\delta(f)$	$\sqrt{(b^2 - 4c + 4\beta)\Delta(f)}$ and $\sqrt{(\beta^2 - 4e)\Delta(f)}$	Group
irred. over $K$	$\notin K$		$S_4$
irred. over $K$	$\in K$		$A_4$
splits completely in $K$	$(\in K)$		$V$
one root $\beta \in K$	$(\notin K)$	one or both $\notin K$	$D_4$
one root $\beta \in K$	$(\notin K)$	both $\in K$	$C_4$

Here  $p(t) = t^3 - ct^2 + (bd - 4e)t + (4ce - d^2 - b^2e)$  is the resolvent cubic, and the discriminant of  $f(x)$  may be computed by computing the discriminant of  $p(t)$ . Applying the algorithm we see the following.

- (a) The Galois group is  $A_4$ . We have  $\Delta(f) = 2^6 \cdot 7^2$  is a square, so  $\delta(f) = 2^3 \cdot 7 \in \mathbb{Q}$ . On the other hand,  $p(t) = t^3 - 2t^2 - 8t + 8$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . One way to see that  $p(t)$  is irreducible is to note that  $p(t) \equiv t^3 + t^2 + t + 2 \pmod{3}$ , and that  $t^3 + t^2 + t + 2$  has no root in  $\mathbb{F}_3$ . Therefore, by the chart, the Galois group is  $A_4$ .
- (b) The Galois group is  $D_4$ . The resolvent cubic factors as  $t^3 - 2t^2 - 2t + 3 = (t - 1) \cdot (t^2 - t - 3)$ , and the quadratic factor is irreducible since its discriminant  $(-1)^2 - 4 \cdot (-3)(1) = 13$  is not a square. Therefore the Galois group must be either  $V$  or  $D_4$ . The root of  $p(t)$  in  $\mathbb{Q}$  is  $\beta = 1$ , and  $\Delta(f) = 117 = 3^2 \cdot 13$ . Since  $(b^2 - 4c + 4\beta) = (1^2 - 4 \cdot 2 + 4 \cdot 1) = -3$ , and  $(b^2 - 4c + 4\beta)\Delta(f) = -3 \cdot 117 = -351$  is not a square in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , we see that the Galois group must be  $D_4$ . (It's also true that  $(\beta^2 - 4e)\Delta(f) = (1^2 - 4 \cdot 1) \cdot 117$ , which is again  $-351$ , is not a square in  $\mathbb{Q}$ .)

- (c) The Galois group is  $V$ . The resolvent cubic factors completely over  $\mathbb{Q}$  as  $t^3 - 2t^2 - 8t = t(t+2)(t-4)$ , and  $V$  is the only possibility where the cubic factors completely.
- (d) The Galois group is  $C_4$ . There are two ways to see this. The polynomial  $f(x) = x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1$  is the minimal polynomial of the primitive 5-th root of unity  $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/5}$  (for example by **H3** Q1(a)). The Galois group after adding any  $p$ -th root of unity to  $\mathbb{Q}$  is the same as the multiplicative group  $\mathbb{F}_p^*$ , which we know is cyclic of order  $p-1$ . (We did a computation like this for  $p=7$  in **H7** Q2.) Hence the Galois group is cyclic of order  $5-1=4$ .

Alternatively, we can use algorithm. The resolvent cubic factors as  $p(t) = t^3 - t^2 - 3t + 2 = (t-2)(t^2 + t - 1)$ . The quadratic factor is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$  since its discriminant is  $1^2 - 4(1)(-1) = 5$ , which is not a square in  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Therefore the Galois group must be  $C_4$  or  $D_4$ . The root of  $p(t)$  in  $\mathbb{Q}$  is  $\beta = 2$ , and  $\Delta(f) = 125 = 5^3$ . Since  $(b^2 - 4c + 4\beta) \cdot \Delta(f) = (1^2 - 4(1) + 4(2)) \cdot 125 = 5 \cdot 125 = 5^4$  is a square in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and since  $(\beta^2 - 4e)\Delta(f) = (2^2 - 4(1))\Delta(f) = 0$  is a square in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , we see that the Galois group must be  $C_4$ .

- (e) The Galois group is  $S_4$ . We have  $\Delta(f) = 257$  is prime, so  $\Delta(f)$  is not a square in  $\mathbb{Q}$ . The resolvent cubic  $p(t) = t^3 - t^2 - 10t - 9$  and is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . One way to see that  $p(t)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is to note that  $p(t) \equiv t^3 + t^2 + 1 \pmod{2}$ , and that  $t^3 + t^2 + 1$  has no root over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . Therefore (by the chart), the Galois group is  $S_4$ .

2. In class we skipped over almost all of the details of the algorithm for detecting the difference between  $C_4$  and  $D_4$  when computing the Galois group of an irreducible quartic polynomial. In this problem we will check some of the claims for the polynomial  $g_1(t)$ .

Let  $K$  be a field of characteristic zero, and let  $f = x^4 + bx^3 + cx^2 + dx + e \in K[x]$  be an irreducible quartic with splitting field  $L$ . We suppose that the resultant cubic  $p(t)$  has a single root  $\beta \in K$ ,  $\beta = \gamma_{13|24}$ . Recall that this means that the Galois group  $G$  is contained in  $\langle\langle(1\ 2\ 3\ 4), (1\ 3)\rangle\rangle = D_4$ , and is either  $D_4$  or  $C_4 = \langle\langle(1\ 2\ 3\ 4)\rangle\rangle$ . We set

$$g_1(t) = (t - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_3))(t - (\alpha_2 + \alpha_4)) = t^2 - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4)t + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)(\alpha_2 + \alpha_4) = t^2 + bt + (c - \beta).$$

The importance of the last equality is that it shows that  $g_1(t) \in K[t]$ .

- (a) Explain what  $\sigma = (1\ 2\ 3\ 4)$  does to each of the roots  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ , and  $\alpha_4$ . (This question is to asking if you understand the isomorphism  $\text{Perm}(S) \cong S_4$  we have been using.)
- (b) Show that  $\{\alpha_1 + \alpha_3, \alpha_2 + \alpha_4\}$  is a single orbit under  $C_4$  and  $D_4$ .

- (c) There is nothing to say that  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_3$  and  $\alpha_2 + \alpha_4$  couldn't be equal. (The set in (b) could consist of a single element, e.g.  $\{z, z\} = \{z\}$ .) Show that  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 = \alpha_2 + \alpha_4$  if and only if  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 \in K$ . (HINT : Your calculation in (b) is relevant.)
- (d) Conclude that either  $g_1(t)$  is irreducible over  $K$  or that  $g_1(t)$  has a double root.
- (e) Explain why either  $\delta(g_1) \notin K$  or  $\delta(g_1) = 0$ .

We now want to show that if  $G = C_4$  then  $\delta(g_1)\delta(f) \in K$ . This is clear when  $\delta(g_1) = 0$ , so for the rest of the problem we assume that  $\delta(g_1) \neq 0$ . We also assume that  $G = C_4$ .

- (f) Explain why  $\delta(f) \notin K$ . (REMINDERS : What does  $\delta(f)$  detect? What is  $G$ ?)
- (g) Explain why there is only one intermediate field  $M \subset L$  of degree 2 over  $K$ .
- (h) Explain why  $K(\delta(g_1))$  and  $K(\delta(f))$  are degree 2 extensions of  $K$ .
- (i) By (g) and (h) there are  $a_0, a_1 \in K$  such that  $\delta(g_1) = a_0 + a_1\delta(f)$ . Since  $\delta(g_1) \notin K$ ,  $\delta(g_1)$  is not fixed by  $G$ , and hence there is some  $\tau \in G$  so that  $\tau \cdot \delta(g_1) = -\delta(g_1)$ . Applying  $\tau$  to the equation above, explain why this means that  $a_0 = 0$ .
- (j) Explain why  $\delta(g_1)\delta(f) \in K$ .

REMARKS. (1) The same argument, with  $\alpha_1\alpha_3$  and  $\alpha_2\alpha_4$  replacing  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_3$  and  $\alpha_2 + \alpha_4$ , shows that if  $G = C_4$  then  $\delta(g_2)\delta(f) \in K$ . (2) A separate (shorter) computation shows that both of these cannot happen if  $G = D_4$ , which leads to the criterion for the test.

**Solution.**

- (a) The isomorphism of  $\text{Perm}(S)$  and  $S_4$  is obtained by matching the root  $\alpha_i$  with the integer  $i$ . Since  $\sigma$  sends 1 to 2, 2 to 3, 3 to 4, and 4 to 1, we have  $\sigma(\alpha_1) = \alpha_2$ ,  $\sigma(\alpha_2) = \alpha_3$ ,  $\sigma(\alpha_3) = \alpha_4$ , and  $\sigma(\alpha_4) = \alpha_1$ .
- (b) From the formulae above we have  $\sigma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3) = \sigma(\alpha_1) + \sigma(\alpha_3) = \alpha_2 + \alpha_4$ , and  $\sigma(\alpha_2 + \alpha_4) = \sigma(\alpha_2) + \sigma(\alpha_4) = \alpha_1 + \alpha_3$ . Since  $\sigma$  swaps  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_3$  and  $\alpha_2 + \alpha_4$ , the set  $\{\alpha_1 + \alpha_3, \alpha_2 + \alpha_4\}$  is a single orbit of  $C_4 = \langle \sigma \rangle$ . The group  $D_4$ , which contains  $C_4$ , might have a larger orbit since other elements of  $D_4$  might send  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_3$  and  $\alpha_2 + \alpha_4$  to different elements of  $L$ . However  $\tau = (1\ 3)$  fixes each of  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_3$  and  $\alpha_2 + \alpha_4$  (i.e.,  $\tau(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3) = \alpha_1 + \alpha_3$ , and  $\tau(\alpha_2 + \alpha_4) = \alpha_2 + \alpha_4$ ). Therefore the orbit of  $D_4 = \langle \sigma, \tau \rangle$  is again the set  $\{\alpha_1 + \alpha_3, \alpha_2 + \alpha_4\}$ .
- (c) If  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_3$  is in  $K$  then it is fixed by all elements of the Galois group. In particular  $\sigma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3) = \alpha_1 + \alpha_3$ . Above we have calculated that  $\sigma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3) = \alpha_2 + \alpha_4$ , and combining these we conclude that  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 = \alpha_2 + \alpha_4$ . Conversely, if  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 = \alpha_2 + \alpha_4$  then by (b) the set  $\{\alpha_1 + \alpha_3, \alpha_2 + \alpha_4\} = \{\alpha_1 + \alpha_3\}$  is fixed by  $G$ , and so  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_3$  is in  $L^G = K$ .

- (d) If  $g_1(t)$  is reducible then both of its roots, namely  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_3$  and  $\alpha_2 + \alpha_4$  are in  $K$ . Then by (c)  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 = \alpha_2 + \alpha_4$ , so that  $g_1(t)$  has a double root. Conversely, if  $g_1(t)$  has a double root then  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 = \alpha_2 + \alpha_4$  so again by (c) both are in  $K$  and  $g_1(t)$  factors over  $K$ .
- (e) The quadratic formula tells us that a degree two polynomial  $g(t) \in K[t]$  factors over  $K$  if and only if its discriminant  $\Delta(g)$  is a square in  $K$ . By (d) we either have  $g_1(t)$  is irreducible (and so  $\delta(g_1) \notin K$ ) or  $g_1(t)$  has a double root (so  $\delta(g_1) = 0$ ).
- (f) First note that  $\delta(f) \neq 0$  : since  $f$  is an irreducible polynomial over a field of characteristic zero it has no repeated roots. The action of the Galois group on  $\delta(f)$  detects whether or not  $G$  is contained in the alternating group. The element  $\sigma = (1\ 2\ 3\ 4) \in C_4$  is not in  $A_4$  and so  $\sigma(\delta(f)) = -\delta(f)$ , showing that  $\delta(f) \notin K$ .
- (g) By the Galois correspondence, an intermediate field  $K \subset M \subset L$  of degree 2 over  $K$  corresponds to a subgroup  $H$  of index 2 in  $G = C_4$ . Since  $C_4$  is a cyclic group, it has only one subgroup of degree  $e$  for any  $e$  dividing 4. In particular, it has only one subgroup of index 2, and so there is only one intermediate field of degree 2 over  $K$ .
- (h) We know that  $\Delta(f) = \delta(f)^2$  and  $\Delta(g_1) = \delta(g_1)^2$  are in  $K$ . Therefore the generators of  $K(\delta(g_1))$  and  $K(\delta(f))$  satisfy degree 2 equations over  $K$ . The generators  $\delta(g_1)$  and  $\delta(f)$  are also not in  $K$  themselves by our assumption and (f). Therefore the extensions are of degree 2 over  $K$ .
- (i) Applying  $\tau$  to  $\delta(g_1) = a_0 + a_1\delta(f)$  we get

$$-\delta(g_1) = \tau(\delta(g_1)) = \tau(a_0 + a_1\delta(f)) = a_0 + a_1\tau(\delta(f)) = a_0 \pm a_1\delta(f).$$

(At the moment we don't know whether  $\tau(\delta(f)) = \delta(f)$  or  $-\delta(f)$ .) But we also know that  $-\delta(g_1) = -(a_0 + a_1\delta(f)) = -a_0 - a_1\delta(f)$ , and comparing these gives  $-a_0 - a_1\delta(f) = a_0 \pm a_1\delta(f)$ . Since 1 and  $\delta(f)$  are a basis for  $K(\delta(f))$  over  $K$ , this means that we have  $-a_0 = a_0$  (and so  $a_0 = 0$ ) and  $\tau(\delta(f)) = -\delta(f)$  (which we don't need at the moment).

- (j) Since  $a_0 = 0$  this means that  $\delta(g_1) = a_1\delta(f)$  with  $a_1 \in K$ , and so  $\delta(g_1)\delta(f) = (a_1\delta(f))\delta(f) = a_1\delta(f)^2 = a_1\Delta(f) \in K$ .

3. Let  $L/K$  be a Galois extension with Galois group  $G$ , and  $\beta$  an element of  $L$ . Let  $S = \text{Orb}_G(\beta)$  be the orbit of  $\beta$  under  $G$ , say  $S = \{\beta = \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_s\}$ , and finally set  $q(x) = \prod_{j=1}^s (x - \beta_j)$ .

In this problem we will show that  $q(x)$  is the minimal polynomial of  $\beta$  over  $K$ .

- (a) Explain why all the coefficients of  $q(x)$  are in  $K$ , so that  $q(x) \in K[x]$ . (SUGGESTION : what does acting by  $G$  do to the elements of  $S$ ?)

It is clear that  $q(x)$  is a monic polynomial with  $\beta$  as a root. Therefore to show that  $q(x)$  is the minimal polynomial of  $\beta$ , it is sufficient to show that  $q(x)$  is irreducible over  $K$ .

- (b) Suppose that  $q(x)$  factors as  $q(x) = q_1(x)q_2(x)$ , with each of  $q_1, q_2 \in K[x]$ , and of degree at least one. By relabelling  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  if necessary, we may assume that  $q_1(\beta) = 0$ . Explain why, for every  $\sigma \in G$ ,  $\sigma(\beta)$  is a root of  $q_1(x)$ .
- (c) Show that none of the roots of  $q_2(x)$  are in the orbit of  $\beta$ .
- (d) Explain why the result in (c) is a contradiction, and hence that  $q(x)$  must be irreducible.

**Solution.**

- (a) Since the set  $S$  is a single orbit of  $G$ ,  $G$  acts on  $S$  by permuting its elements. The coefficients of  $q(x)$  are, up to sign, the elementary symmetric polynomials in  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s$ . Hence any permutation leaves them unchanged, in particular, action by  $G$  leaves them unchanged. Thus the coefficients are in  $L^G = K$ .
- (b) We have seen this argument many times, first in the class “Automorphisms fixing a subfield” from January 20th. If  $q_1(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1x + a_0$  with the  $a_i \in K$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sigma(0) = \sigma(q_1(\beta)) = \sigma(\beta^n + a_{n-1}\beta^{n-1} + \dots + a_1\beta + a_0) \\ &= \sigma(\beta)^n + a_{n-1}\sigma(\beta)^{n-1} + \dots + a_1\sigma(\beta) + a_0 = q_1(\sigma(\beta)), \end{aligned}$$

so  $\sigma(\beta)$  is a root of  $q_1(x)$ .

- (c) The elements of the set  $S$  are distinct, so  $q(x)$  has no repeated roots. This means that  $q_1(x)$  and  $q_2(x)$  have no roots in common. Part (b) shows that the orbit of  $\beta$  is contained in the subset of roots of  $q_1(x)$ , therefore no roots of  $q_2(x)$  are in the orbit of  $\beta$ .
- (d) Since  $\deg q_2(x) \geq 1$ ,  $q_2(x)$  must have at least one root. By construction the roots of  $q(x)$  are the elements in the orbit of  $\beta$ , so all roots of  $q_2(x)$  are a subset of the orbit. This contradicts (c), and shows that we could not have had the factorization  $q(x) = q_1(x)q_2(x)$  over  $K$  with both  $q_1(x)$  and  $q_2(x)$  of degree  $\geq 1$ . Therefore  $q(x)$  is irreducible over  $K$ .

REMARK. We have used the argument in part (a) before : see the proof of (3)  $\implies$  (2) on February 4th (“Galois Extensions”).