1. The region $U=\{(x, y)| | y \mid \leq \sin (x)\}$, consists of infinitely many disconnected "lobes":

each one associated to an interval $x \in[2 k \pi,(2 k+1) \pi]$ on the $x$-axis for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, i.e., those $x$ values where $\sin (x) \geq 0$. The grey points (those points with $|y|<\sin (x))$ are the interior points, and the dark black points (those with $|y|=\sin (x)$ ) are the boundary points.
2. If $u(x, y, t)=e^{-2 t} \sin (3 x) \cos (2 y)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{x}(x, y, t) & =3 e^{-2 t} \cos (3 x) \cos (2 y) \\
u_{y}(x, y, t) & =-2 e^{-2 t} \sin (3 x) \sin (2 y), \text { and } \\
u_{t}(x, y, t) & =-2 e^{-2 t} \sin (3 x) \cos (2 y)
\end{aligned}
$$

If $u$ is the height of a vibrating membrane (like a drum) above $(x, y)$ at time $t$, then at a point $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ and time $t_{0}, u_{t}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, t_{0}\right)$ represents how fast the membrane is moving up and down over top the point $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ at time $t_{0}$. For the other two derivatives, imagine the surface of the membrane at this frozen instant $t_{0}$, then $u_{x}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, t_{0}\right)$ is the rate of change of the height of the graph going in the $x$-direction, and $u_{y}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, t_{0}\right)$ the rate of change going on the $y$-direction.
3. If $\mathbf{F}: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is the function $\mathbf{F}(x, y)=\left(\sin (\pi x) \cos (\pi y), y e^{x y}, x^{2}+y^{3}\right)$, then

$$
\mathbf{D F}(x, y)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\pi \cos (\pi x) \cos (\pi y) & -\pi \sin (\pi x) \sin (\pi y) \\
y^{2} e^{x y} & (1+x y) e^{x y} \\
2 x & 3 y^{2}
\end{array}\right], \text { so } \mathbf{D F}(1,2)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-\pi & 0 \\
4 e^{2} & 3 e^{2} \\
2 & 12
\end{array}\right]
$$

If we head in the direction $\vec{v}=(3,-2)$, this means that the instantaneous rate of change of the three functions is given by

$$
\mathbf{D F}(1,1) \vec{v}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-\pi & 0 \\
4 e^{2} & 3 e^{2} \\
2 & 12
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{r}
3 \\
-2
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
-3 \pi \\
6 e^{2} \\
-18
\end{array}\right]
$$

In other words, if we're at the point $(1,2)$, and we head off in the direction $(3,-2)$, the instantaneous rate of change of $\sin (\pi x) \cos (\pi y)$ is $-3 \pi$, the instantaneous rate of change of $y e^{x y}$ is $6 e^{2}$, and the instantaneous rate of change of $x^{2}+y^{3}$ is -18 .
4. We're starting with the function

$$
f(x, y)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{y^{2} x}{x^{2}+y^{2}} & \text { if }(x, y) \neq(0,0) \\
0 & \text { if }(x, y)=(0,0)
\end{array}\right.
$$

(a) If we restrict to the $x$-axis, i.e. points of the form $(x, 0)$, we get the function

$$
f(x, 0)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{0}{x^{2}+0^{2}} & \text { if }(x, 0) \neq(0,0) \\
0 & \text { if }(x, 0)=(0,0)
\end{array}\right.
$$

which can be more succinctly described by simply saying that $f(x, 0)=0$ for all values of $x$.

The partial derivative $f_{x}(0,0)$ certainly exists. According to the definition of $f_{x}(0,0)$ we only need to understand $f(x, 0)$ and see if it has an $x$-derivative at $x=0$. The zero function (which is what $f(x, 0)$ is) is certainly differentiable any constant function is. Its derivative, like all constant functions, is 0 . Thus $f_{x}(0,0)=0$.

We can also go directly to the definition of the partial derivative:

$$
f_{x}(0,0)=\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x, 0)-f(0,0)}{x}=\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{0-0}{x}=0
$$

but it seems simpler just to think about the restriction as above.
(b) Restricting the function $f$ to the $y$-axis, gives $f(0, y)=0$, just like the restriction to the $x$-axis. For the same reasons, $f_{y}(0,0)$ exists and is zero.
(c) If $f$ were differentiable at $(0,0)$ then its derivative matrix would be

$$
\mathbf{D} f(0,0)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(0,0) & \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(0,0)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

(d) If $f$ were differentiable at $(0,0)$ then the instantaneous rate of change in the direction $\vec{v}=(1,1)$ would be given by

$$
\mathbf{D} f(0,0) \vec{v}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right]=0
$$

(e) If we restrict $f$ to points of the form $(t, t)$, we get the function

$$
f(t, t)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{t^{3}}{t^{2}+t^{2}} & \text { if }(t, t) \neq(0,0) \\
0 & \text { if }(t, t)=(0,0)
\end{array}\right.
$$

or simply the function $f(t, t)=t / 2$.
As a function of $t$, this is a line with slope $\frac{1}{2}$. Its rate of change at $t=0$ is therefore also $\frac{1}{2}$. You can also write this more formally: $\frac{d}{d t} f(t, t)=\frac{1}{2}$, and then plugging in $t=0$ gives $\frac{1}{2}$.
(f) If $f$ were differentiable, the answers to (d) and (e) would be the same. A consequence of the definition of differentiability is that the matrix gives the instantaneous rate of change of $f$ in all directions from $(0,0)$.
(g) Therefore, $f$ is obviously not differentiable at $(0,0)$.

It's worthwhile thinking a bit more about the function $f$. To try and see what it looks like, let's restrict it to lines of the form $\left(v_{x} t, v_{y} t\right)$ for some nonzero vector $\vec{v}=\left(v_{x}, v_{y}\right):$

$$
f\left(v_{x} t, v_{y} t\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\frac{v_{x} v_{y}^{2} t^{3}}{\left(v_{x}^{2}+v_{y}^{2}\right) t^{2}} & \text { if }\left(v_{x} t, v_{y} t\right) \neq(0,0) \\
0 & \text { if }\left(v_{x} t, v_{y} t\right)=(0,0)
\end{array}\right.
$$

or simply $f\left(v_{x} t, v_{y} t\right)=\left(\frac{v_{x} v_{y}^{2}}{v_{x}^{2}+v_{y}^{2}}\right) t$.
This shows us that the restriction of $f$ to any direction $\vec{v}$ is just a linear function of $t$, with $t$-slope $\frac{v_{x} v_{y}^{2}}{v_{x}^{2}+v_{y}^{2}}$, which is zero along the $x$ and $y$ axes. Two views of the graph are shown below:


In any direction $\vec{v}$, the derivative exists and is equal to $\frac{v_{x} v_{y}^{2}}{v_{x}^{2}+v_{y}^{2}}$. Since this doesn't depend linearly on $\left(v_{x}, v_{y}\right)$ it is clear that $f$ is not differentiable at $(0,0)$. This was exactly the kind of example mentioned in the tutorial.
5. This time we're starting with the function $f(x, y)=25-x^{2}-2 y^{2}$.
(a) $\quad f_{x}(x, y)=-2 x \quad f_{x}(2,3)=-4$
$f_{y}(x, y)=-4 y \quad f_{x}(2,3)=-12$
$g_{x}(x, y)=m \quad g_{x}(2,3)=m$
$g_{y}(x, y)=n \quad g_{x}(2,3)=n$
(c) Clearly we want $m=-4$ and $n=-12$. The graph of $-4 x-12 y+c$ passes through $-4(2)-12(3)+c=-44+c$ over $(2,3)$. In order for this to be 3 we need $c=47$.
(d) $g\left(2+t v_{x}, 3+t v_{y}\right)=-4\left(2+t v_{x}\right)-12\left(3+t v_{y}\right)+47=3+\left(-4 v_{x}-12 v_{y}\right) t$. This has derivative $-4 v_{x}-12 v_{y}$ at $t=0$ (or for any $t$ ).
(e) $f\left(2+t v_{x}, 3+t v_{y}\right)=3+\left(-4 v_{x}-12 v_{y}\right) t-\left(v_{x}^{2}+2 v_{y}^{2}\right) t^{2}$. This also has derivative $-4 v_{x}-12 v_{y}$ when $t=0$.
(f) The fact that the answers to (d) and (e) are the same is certainly the behaviour we expect from a differentiable function - it's an indication that $f$ is well approximated by its tangent plane over $(2,3)$.

This behaviour (that the instantaneous rate of change along lines agrees with that of the plane determined by the partial derivatives) is not enough to guarantee that a function is actually differentiable, although it takes a bit of work to construct an example. (Challenge: find one for yourself).

Our function $f$ is differentiable though. For instance, the partial derivatives $f_{x}(x, y)=-2 x$ and $f_{y}(x, y)=-4 y$ are certainly continuous, and we know that's enough to imply the differentiability of $f$.
(g) Actually, although it may not immediately appear like it, the definition of what it means for $\mathbf{F}: \mathbb{R}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ to be differentiable is exactly that the separate component functions $F_{1}, F_{2}$, and $F_{3}$ be individually differentiable. It is equivalent to the definition of differentiabilty given in the book.
(h) The derivative of a single function $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ at a point $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is something which computes the instantaneous rate of change of $f$ in any direction $\vec{v}=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)$, and this association is linear in $\vec{v}$. Therefore, the derivative DF of a function $\mathbf{F}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ at a point $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ should be something which computes the $m$ different instantaneous rates of change in a direction $\vec{v}$, and the dependence of the rates of change on $\vec{v}$ should again be linear. But a linear function from $n$-vectors to $m$-vectors is exactly given by an $(m \times n)$ matrix.

